

Review of Surrogacy Laws

Questions in the Issues Paper

This document extracts the **27** questions contained in the Review of Surrogacy Laws Issues Paper released by the Australian Law Reform Commission ('ALRC').

Anyone is welcome to use this document when preparing a submission. You may wish to insert your responses to the questions here and submit it to the ALRC. It is not necessary to address all of the questions — you can answer as many or as few as you wish.

[Read the Issues Paper](#)

[Make a submission](#)

Insights from people with personal experience of surrogacy

Question 1 If you or someone close to you has had personal experience of surrogacy, please describe:

- What parts of your experience were positive?
- What parts of your experience were negative?
- What could be improved and how?

In your response, please let us know:

- a. how you were involved in the process (for example, if you were a surrogate, intended parent, or child born through surrogacy);
- b. if the process took place in Australia or overseas;
- c. if the process took place overseas, the country in which the surrogacy arrangement took place and what was attractive about that country; and
- d. if you think you faced barriers because of certain personal characteristics (for example, if you were in a same-sex relationship or from a culturally or linguistically diverse background).

You might also want to consider the issues discussed below in your response.

Response:

Reform principles

Question 2 What reform principles should guide this Inquiry?

Response:

The most important reform principle should be widening surrogacy availability for people who do not have other options for conceiving children, such as women unable to conceive.

Human rights

Question 3 What do you think are the key human rights issues raised by domestic and/or international surrogacy arrangements? How should these be addressed?

Response:

The key human rights issues are a child's right to know their identity, especially if they were conceived using the surrogate's eggs. Also, the child's right to safety, ensuring parents of surrogate children are safe people. Finally, the right for the surrogate to be freely and voluntarily consenting to entering a surrogacy arrangement and ensure they are not exploited in any way through the process.

I see that with the majority of surrogacy arrangements in Australia occurring overseas, these human rights issues are more easily breached, as the child is moved to a different country from their surrogate parent, with the information about their identity potentially hidden from them. International surrogacy is also a grey market, giving little oversight on potential parents or the safety and potential exploitation of surrogate mothers.

Question 4 What information about the circumstances of their birth do you think children born through surrogacy should have access to? How should this be provided or facilitated?

Response: For genetic surrogate children, the child should have an awareness of their surrogate mother, and this should be told to them by their parents. For gestational surrogate children, it should be up to the parents if this information is given to the child or not. The difference being whether or not the child has gene's from a person who is not their parents.

Insights about the key issues and potential reform options

Barriers to domestic surrogacy

Question 5 What do you think are the main barriers that prevent people from entering surrogacy arrangements in Australia? How could these be overcome?

You might want to consider the experiences of any groups who may face greater barriers to accessing domestic surrogacy than others, such as LGBTIQ+ people, people who are financially disadvantaged, or people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds.

Response:

The main barriers to entering surrogacy arrangements in Australia are unclear and complex laws that differ from state to state, which implicitly make most surrogacy illegal. Not being able to advertise surrogacy offers, nor financially contribute to surrogates, makes it almost impossible for people to enter surrogacy arrangements except under very specific circumstances, which pushes people to undertake arrangements overseas. Overseas arrangements are also poorly legislated and risky for all involved, making these also not an appealing option.

Legislating and regulating domestic surrogacy would create safer, more ethical surrogacy, for parents, children and surrogates.

Eligibility requirements for surrogacy

Question 6 Should there be eligibility requirements for surrogacy? If so, what should those requirements be?

Response: Eligibility requirements for surrogacy are necessary to ensure all parties are safe. My proposal would be to regulate this similarly to the process of vetting foster carers.

Question 7 Are there any eligibility requirements which should be introduced, changed, or removed?

Response:

Surrogacy agreements — validity and enforceability

Question 8 Are there any requirements for a valid surrogacy agreement you think should be introduced, removed, or changed?

Response:

Question 9 Should surrogacy agreements be enforceable? You might want to consider:

- a. if all parts of the agreement should be enforceable;
- b. who should be able to enforce the agreement; and
- c. how agreements could be enforced.

Response: I think surrogacy arrangements should be enforceable by both parties, as long as the surrogacy ends in a live birth. This should be enforced by either party, and treated similarly to a child custody dispute, with the agreement being the default position of the court.

Process requirements for surrogacy

Question 10 What process requirements should be in place for surrogacy arrangements? You might want to consider:

- a. if counselling should also be available after the child's birth;
- b. what should happen if legal advice and counselling are not provided before entering a surrogacy agreement; and
- c. if parentage applications should require proof of legal advice and/or counselling.

Response:

Counselling and legal advice should be necessary parts of all surrogacy arrangements, for both parents and surrogate mother, and be a necessary part of the surrogacy agreement. If there is no written, legal agreement, the surrogacy arrangement will not be valid and any disputes about custody should be managed through current legislation on custody disputes.

Professional services, including legal and counselling services

Question 11 What are the gaps in professional services for surrogacy in Australia? You might want to consider:

- a. if surrogacy agencies should operate in Australia; and
- b. the availability, accessibility, and subject matter to be covered in legal advice and counselling sessions.

Response:

Question 12 How should professional services operate in Australia? You might want to consider:

- a. what their role should be;
- b. if they should be for-profit or not-for-profit, or how they should be funded;
- c. if different types of services should operate together or separately, for example, whether counselling services should be independent or integrated within agencies or fertility clinics; and
- d. how they could best meet the diverse needs and experiences of people involved in a surrogacy arrangement.

Response:

Surrogacy services would be best managed by state government due to their legal complexity, interface with the court system, and the vulnerability of all parties.

Limits on advertising

Question 13 How should surrogacy advertising be regulated? You might want to consider:

- a. if advertising should be allowed;
- b. who should be allowed to advertise;
- c. what advertising content should be allowed; and
- d. where advertising should be allowed, for example via newspapers, social media, or by establishing a surrogacy register.

Response:

Advertising should be allowed for both parents and surrogates, with no regulation, as long as surrogacy agreements are created in a formal way prior to the arrangement starting.

Access to Medicare and parental leave

Question 14 What entitlements, if any, should be available to surrogates and intended parents? You might want to consider:

- a. Medicare rebates for fertility treatments;
- b. access by surrogates to paid or unpaid parental leave, including through enterprise agreement terms; and
- c. if it is desirable to make surrogacy arrangements generally more affordable, and how this could be achieved.

Response:

Reimbursing and compensating surrogates

Question 15 How could the process for reimbursing surrogates for reasonable expenses be improved? You might want to consider:

- a. what expenses should be reimbursable;
- b. how payment should be calculated;
- c. if there should be limits on any amounts;
- d. the process for reimbursement (for example, whether money should be kept in trust, whether there should be a requirement to produce receipts, etc); and
- e. any jurisdictions (either within Australia or overseas) that have processes for reimbursement worth learning from.

Response:

Question 16 Do you support a) *compensated* surrogacy and/or b) '*commercial*' surrogacy? You might want to consider whether you agree with how we have described compensated and 'commercial' surrogacy.

Response:

Question 17 If Australia was to allow for compensated or ‘commercial’ surrogacy, how could this be implemented? You might want to consider:

- a. how compensation should be calculated;
- b. if there should be a limit on the amount of compensation;
- c. who should set the amount of compensation;
- d. the process for compensation (for example, whether it should be paid in monthly instalments, whether the money should be kept in trust etc); and
- e. any jurisdictions (either within Australia or overseas) that have processes for compensation worth learning from.

Response:

Legal parentage of children born through surrogacy

Question 18 What are the main problems with the requirements and processes for obtaining legal parentage for a child born through domestic and/or international surrogacy?

Response:

Question 19 How could the process for intended parents to become the legal parents of children born through surrogacy be improved? You might want to consider:

- a. timing (for example, if the process happens before or after the birth of the child);
- b. who makes the decision (for example, if it is an administrative or judicial decision);
- c. if recognition should be automatic;
- d. if the process should be different depending on the circumstances (for example, based on whether the surrogate has a genetic link to the child, the type of payment they received, and whether the surrogacy arrangement was in Australia or overseas);
- e. whether intended mothers are or should be treated differently to intended fathers in legal parentage determinations;
- f. whether the granting of legal parentage should depend on compliance with process requirements;
- g. the importance of prioritising the best interests of the child; and
- h. whether we can learn from the processes of any other countries.

Response:

Citizenship, passports and visas

Question 20 What, if any, are the main problems with obtaining the following documents for a child born through international surrogacy:

- a. Australian citizenship;
- b. an Australian passport; or
- c. an Australian visa.

Response:

Question 21 How could the process for obtaining these documents be improved?

Response:

Oversight and harmonisation – Inconsistent laws

Question 22 What is the best way to approach differences in surrogacy regulation between or within jurisdictions? You might want to consider:

- a. the ways in which surrogacy regulation is inconsistent between jurisdictions;
- b. if these inconsistencies are problematic;
- c. any impacts of the differences between federal legal regimes (for example, citizenship law and family law);
- d. if a judicial process for transferring legal parentage is retained, whether applications for parentage should be determined in state courts, the Federal Circuit Court and Family Court of Australia, or both;
- e. how important it is that the approaches are harmonised or made more consistent; and
- f. how any harmonisation could be achieved (for example, by regulating surrogacy at a federal level or through uniform or substantively consistent state legislation).

Response:

Oversight and harmonisation – Oversight

Question 23 Is it appropriate for surrogacy arrangements to be subject to oversight? If so, what is the best approach? You might want to consider:

- a. the need for a regulator or oversight body and what it could look like (for example, an administrative body or a tribunal);
- b. if oversight should be national or state and territory based; and
- c. which groups need oversight (for example, health professionals).

Response:

The role of the criminal law

Question 24 Should the law have a role in discouraging or prohibiting certain forms of surrogacy? You may wish to consider:

- a. if engaging in or facilitating certain forms of surrogacy, whether in Australia or overseas, should be sanctioned or criminalised;
- b. the effect of using the criminal law to regulate certain forms of surrogacy; and
- c. whether there are regulatory approaches preferable to the criminal law.

Response:

Lack of awareness and education

Question 25 Do you think there is a need to improve awareness and understanding of surrogacy laws, policies, and practices? You might think about how people currently find out about surrogacy, or the particular groups or professions who could benefit from improved education and information.

Response:

Issues we consider to be out of scope

Question 26 Do you have any views about the issues we consider to be in or out of scope?

Response:

Other insights

Question 27 Are there any important issues with regulating surrogacy that we have not identified in the Issues Paper? Do you have any other ideas for reforming how surrogacy is regulated?

Response: