

## CI 978 D Inbakaran

First name: Daniel

Last name: Inbakaran

Q1:

a new framework would be best as the current is rubbish. Cut your losses and start over, everybody wins.

Q2:

Introducing a R18+ classification so that adults have the freedom to play what games they want/bought with the money they worked for and earned.

Q3:

No, as technology and platforms are just a means to the end and not the end itself. It would be like classifying a road a certain way because it leads to a brothel.

Q4:

No, that would compromise the idea of a classification as anyone who dose not like something could complain to get it restricted/wrongly classified.

Q5:

The "potential impact" is akin to predicting the future, and therefore should be avoided as extrapolation is not always a suitable technique to go by.

"Should content designed for children be classified across all media?" clarification on the wording here is required.

Q6:

Absolutely not. That logic would border on that of discrimination.

Q7:

No. Artwork and therefore art is and should remain a form of free expression. If it is not liked, it need not be looked at.

Q8:

The current regulations and classifications for music is fine. don't "fix" what is not broken.

Q9:

see answer to Q6

Q10:

define accessed in public. As far as what a person does in the privacy of their own home (apart from criminal activity) is their business and theirs alone.

Q11:

The age of the persons undertaking the activity.

Q12:

Online content should not be restricted as that is not what the internet is for/about.

Q13:

Parents back 10 - 20 years ago used to do parenting and raise their children properly, parents now should try it. I'm 23 and its worked well so far.

Q14:

By the distributor/vendors discretion, i.e not selling to underage persons.

Q15:

When they are usually in close proximity to small children and pose a choking hazard, or if they are sexually explicit material.

Q16:

Listening to the public and not telling the public what is best for them. They should remember who voted them into their government roles.

Q17:

Yes. Often the government body will overlook the culture that is often attached to the industry, especially in the case of technology.

Q18:

That should be left to the industry and its client base.

Q19:

The example of small indie films is a good example.

Q20:

They are understood, but in some cases ridiculous.

Q21:

Absolutely there is a need for a R18+ classification category. Adults have the right to play what games they want.

Q22:

Q23:

I don't know what this means, but all that should be done is adding a R18+ rating.

Q24:

kiddie porn

Q25:

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Addition of an R18+ rating.

Other comments:

Add a R18+ rating