

CI 972 A Ocias

First name: Alexander

Last name: Ocias

Q1:

Improving key elements.

Q2:

To provide a guide and warning for those who would be offended by certain types of content produced by organisations that receive benefits from the government, while allowing *ALL* content to be consumed by those who wish to do so.

Q3:

Yes. Even if a classification system includes content sources outside of the government, digitally distributed content should be exempt. It would be financially unsound to attempt to classify the millions of pieces of content on the internet.

Additionally, the internet is a uniquely user-generated experience. Classification in this area could very easily lead to personal censorship.

Q4:

No.

Q5:

Yes.

Q6:

Yes. Especially if it is determined that internet-based content must be classified, then this would limit the pool to a manageable portion.

Q7:

No. Art is very much about boundaries and questions, as well as defining our culture. Classification derives from fine art, not defines it. This could very quickly lead to censorship and stagnate the progress of our country,

Q8:

Yes, if it is financially sound to do so.

Q9:

It should play some part, but it worries me that considering this could eventually defeat the purpose of the system.

Q10:

Yes, very much so. We should be able to choose what we wish to consume from our homes.

Q11:

Q12:

It is not possible to control access to online content, nor should we wish to do so.

Q13:

Using one of the hundreds of "net-nanny" software packages that exist.

Q14:

Q15:

Q16:

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

Q20:

Q21:

Yes, we need to create a category that encompasses all content that is currently refused classification, so that the content can be consumed by those who wish to do so.

Q22:

Video games require an R18 rating.

Q23:

Yes.

Q24:

None. It is not neither possible not desirable in a first-world country. We shouldn't burn books, we shouldn't hide information from people.

Q25:

No. The RC category shouldn't exist.

Q26:

No, the required consistency slows progress.

Q27:

Preferrably none, and the industry would establish its own scheme in the interests of providing information to its consumers.

Q28:

Q29:

Take measures to enhance personal freedoms, while acting as an advisor, rather than deciding who should be able to watch what content.

Other comments: