

CI 941 D Woodward

First name: David

Last name: Woodward

Q1:

A new framework.

Q2:

Better categorization; strict on the minor ages, much broader for middle-higher ages, until reaching an age where we as adults aren't restricted from what we view, watch, play, etc.

Q3:

No. Media is media.

Q4:

No, it creates a middle-man and opportunities for lawsuits in certain circumstances.

Q5:

Potential impact should be a case-by-case basis, but in a generalized answer; no. Content designed for children should be classified along a moving line scale. Children now are different from 10 years ago.

Q6:

No.

Q7:

NO. Definitely not.

Q8:

No, definitely not.

Q9:

No, definitely not.

Q10:

No, definitely not. How would that even contribute to anything? :/

Q11:

N/A

Q12:

'Main Internet Operator' of each household is in-charge of restricting access to those that need restricting?

Q13:

'Main Internet Operator' of each household is in-charge of restricting access to those that need restricting, and NON-MANDATORY software.

Q14:

N/A

Q15:

On release.

Q16:

A drive for better, newer, smarter categorization schemes.

Q17:

Yes, very much so.

Q18:

Games, movies.

Q19:

No, none of them.

Q20:

Understood but known to be unfair in these days.

Q21:

18+. We're in charge of what we digest from the media.

Q22:

General, yet easily transferable terms to each rating.

Q23:

No. In 1995 it was acceptable, now not so much.

Q24:

None.

Q25:

N/A

Q26:

Not important. People will still import.

Q27:

N/A

Q28:

Yes.

Q29:

N/A

Other comments:

N/A