

## CI 861 N Ellingham

First name: Nicole

Last name: Ellingham

Q1:

Create a new framework for classification.

Q2:

To make sure content reaches only the suitable audiences. Recognising that adult content should be allowed but distributed appropriately.

Q3:

No, platform or technology has nothing to do with the content its self. Content creates context whereas platform is simply a means of distribution.

Q4:

No, classification is not about complaints it is about letting a consumer or audience know who the target audience is and what they can expect.

Q5:

Yes, I believe as stated above this will give people a greater understanding of what they are getting.

Q6:

No, the principal still applies regardless of audience/consumer sizes people like to know what they're getting into.

Q7:

Classified maybe not but some sort of forewarning should be mandatory for any content that could be confronting or disturbing to some viewers.

Q8:

Are they not already? There is a system in place which allows the consumer to see whether or not there is adult or possible offensive content.

Q9:

Is this not the same as question 6, please see that answer.

Q10:

No.

Q11:

The possibility of a vast range of content.

Q12:

Giving people a warning if they are entering a site etcetera that may contain content not suitable for some viewers, this will uphold our right to freedom of information.

Q13:

By parents giving children responsible supervision and education on the internet.

Q14:

Parenting, parenting, parenting. It is important for children to be protected and taught by their parents it should not be lumped onto the government to do.

Q15:

It should always be 100% required when something contain materials which may be inappropriate or offensive to some consumers but even content which does not could benefit from informing the consumer.

Q16:

To make sure it is aptly classified and distributed to the appropriate audience whilst not depriving our country of our right to all content.

Q17:

Yes, as long as it is not solely industry regulated as this could result in corruption. However that said, industry can give better insights into the individual markets for each platform needing classification and thus insure it is done appropriately with the overseeing by the government making sure nothing is done in a dishonest way.

Q18:

I do not think industry should ever be the only body classifying nor should the government for that matter.

Q19:

I do not understand how subsidies would be of any benefit in terms of classification.

Q20:

I do not believe the current systems categories are confusing at all, apart from the absence of an R 18+ rating for video games.

Q21:

I do not believe that is necessary.

Q22:

By every platform having all the different categories included as a choice of classification.

Q23:

Made to be the same? Well yes as discussed earlier in this form content is the deciding factor in classification not platform and as it stands film and video games have very similar ranges of content.

Q24:

Child porn and criminal training.

Q25:

I think to some extent it is too strict just because something is highly controversial does not mean adults and I stress that point ADULTS, should not be able to make up there own minds on whether content is appropriate for them

Q26:

Yes it is highly important we're all part of the same country are we not? It should be promoted as common sense, simplifying the system and allowing for better quality in classifying.

Q27:

One that includes G to R18+ across all platforms and is identical for the whole country.

Q28:

Yes, the states are too focused on there own agendas a legislation that suits the entire country is needed.

Q29:

By allowing those who understand each platforms content contribute to classification.

Other comments: