

CI 842 D Howell

First name: David

Last name: Howell

Q1:

a new framework for classification

Q2:

effectively representing all members of society and protecting those who need it most from exposure to harmful material whilst allowing mature individuals the freedom to view any information they want.

Q3:

no, although many claim that the video game industry provides a more visceral and affecting experience, there is no actual scientific evidence to back this claim.

Q4:

no, all content should be reviewed to maintain transparency.

Q5:

no, all content should be reviewed to maintain transparency.

Q6:

no, all content should be reviewed to maintain transparency.

Q7:

No.

Q8:

yes.

Q9:

no, all content should be reviewed to maintain transparency.

Q10:

yes.

Q11:

none, all media content should be classified even if it is only as a means to inform the general public, more information is always better than less.

Q12:

There is at this stage no effective means to control the online marketplace.

Q13:

By having their parents do a better job of being parents.

Q14:

I don't believe it needs to be.

Q15:

on the packaging, and at the start.

Q16:

ratings should be set up by the relevant government body in association with industry groups, users should be allowed to give their feedback on the ratings if they believe they are incorrect.

Q17:

that would need to be trialed to get an accurate answer.

Q18:

none, it will always need government review.

Q19:

Q20:

I believe all classifications are understood and clear, the lack of an R18 classification is misleading.

Q21:

R18 is required.

Q22:

I believe they are already consistent enough, and with the introduction of an R18 classification, they will make more sense to everyone.

Q23:

Don't understand the question...

Q24:

content deemed illegal

Q25:

no

Q26:

yes, should be managed at a federal level not state & territory

Q27:

unsure

Q28:

yes

Q29:

Other comments: