

CI 824 D Vermont

First name: Dale

Last name: Vermont

Q1:

The ALRC should focus on developing a new framework for classification. The current system is outdated, not understanding current rights of adults.

Q2:

Designing a fair system that understands that people of all ages and societies use the media, and should be able to choose what they interact with.

Q3:

No, movies and websites are arguably more accessible than games.

Q4:

Depends on the nature of the complaint and who is affected.

Q5:

If the content is not aimed at children, then it can be classified as such.

Q6:

No

Q7:

Yes, if you are serious about what is not suitable for children.

Q8:

Yes, if you are serious about what is not suitable for children.

Q9:

No

Q10:

Yes, at home private access should not be classified the same as a public viewing.

Q11:

The intent of the piece of media.

Q12:

It should be done by parent/guardian control.

Q13:

Parents need to be aware of their children's activities.

Q14:

It can't.

Q15:

If it is sold or advertised in a public domain.

Q16:

Less regulation, more control to parent guardians.

Q17:

Yes

Q18:

Q19:

Yes, it will allow the development and creation process to be more appealing, due to less cost factors.

Q20:

Video games cause massive confusion, why is some content ok for movies and easily public accessible, while some games will not be allowed.

Q21:

Yes, R18+ for games.

Q22:

Get people on the board who understand the technology.

Q23:

Yes, if the system is to be looked at.

Q24:

None.

Q25:

No

Q26:

Yes, national law, no state territory

Q27:

A better one

Q28:

yes

Q29:

R18+ rating for video games

Other comments: