

CI 805 O Stevens

First name: Odette

Last name: Stevens

Q1:

by adding an adult only classification tag to sites inappropriate for children

Q2:

to ensure classifications do not create censorship of controversial items for adults

Q3:

no , because content should be self classified , ie yes I wish to view even though it may be controversial , or industry classified

Q4:

only if the complaint is justified under law , ie content is illegal not because someone , or some group deemed it inappropriate

Q5:

Yes , as all adult content could be also , ie , controversial adult material CA , sexually controversial SCA, nothing should be refused a classification , except the illegal or unlawful content such as hate or child pornography.

Q6:

no all items should be self classified by the individual , or company under the new guidelines and reclassified if there is a legitimate complaint of the content

Q7:

Artworks are the most endangered by the classification laws, a nude child or nude adult body is no ruder than a nude cherub in a church.If there is suggestive sexual nudity , then yes children to be admitted only with an adult and only over 15 years of age .But not to restrict access to adults .

Q8:

Yes , Ive read some sexually explicit books ( eg Bryce Courtenay Potato Factory series ).The story was explicit in its hooor of child abuse, but as it was part of the story it could not have been told without it , same with any recounting of horror , or crime be it by book , audio, TV, internet or radio..A classification system should equally cover all media. Requiring it to advise only , what is acceptable for which age group.

Q9:

No

Q10:

No , as classification should be a guideline not a law to an individual in their own home , unless it is against a law.

Q11:

Whether the classification is justified.I believe the current Refused Classification is severely out of date .Unless it is illegal then it must have a classification , as in my previous comment , extra ratings , SAC sexually adut classification , CA controversial adult classification to be added and the Refused Classification scrapped.

Q12:

Parental control and education are the most effective methods of control to accessing internet online content. Free classes for parents of kindergarten children should be available to teach the basic filter mechanisms that every computer comes with.

Q13:

By better education about online safety and protocol in the school combined with parental control at home .

Q14:

I dont believe it needs to be better controlled .They havent hurt previous generations of humans.and in my opinion are a safe venting mechanism saving certain people from looking to someone not in a magazine.

Q15:

When it is available for sale.

Q16:

The role of the government is only to set up industry bodies to ensure our right to freedom to information is not stopped under too restrictive classification laws. Industry will suffer a backlash from disgruntled consumers .Regulations must remain a guideline , and unless content is illegal should be given an appropriate classification. Classification should not lead to censorship.

Q17:

Yes definitely, industry will not want to upsey the consumers of their products , and so would take measures to ensure they worked within a reasonable classification model , such as the one with the added adult content classifications. It is not right to stifle adult content with too few classifications .

Q18:

all it is not hard to add a reasonable set of classifications to any product

Q19:

All , and only those produced by Australians.

Q20:

Refused Classification creates the biggest confusion .To refuse to classify something is unjust.If it is not illegal then it should have a classification and be available to be viewed by those of an adult ,or age appropriate person.Remembering books such as the Bible , Lady Chatterlys Lover , Ned Kelly ,Tolstoy and many more have been banned in the past, not just in Australia.Extra classifications must be added to prevent classification becoming censorship.We live in an information age and the best information is made from examining all aspects of the information , not just the socially acceptable.

Q21:

I believe at least two new classifications are needed and the Refused Classsification removed.I believe anything sexually more explicit or the subject matter controversial in an adult manner than our current adult ratings allow shouls have the new classifications of Contraversial adult content CAC , and the second SAC sexually explicit adult content.

Q22:

By making the same laws consistent across the whole of Australia, and the classification a logo like appearance.

Q23:

Yes , the current classifications need to be uniform and protect children and adults rights to a guideline of what content they are about to access .More classifications are needed to allow adult game players access to current RC games- once again not illegal just not allowed to be classified -

Q24:

Only content that is illegal.All content online falls into some category besides RC if the two extra adult classifications of SAC and CAC are added.

Q25:

No.This is a ridiculous classification .Controversial does not mean illegal.it is healthy to have controversy as it leads to debate and growth.

Q26:

Yes.By making consistant laws for all of Australia.

Q27:

One regulated by law and parental set guidelines

Q28:

Only if they dont use classsification laws to commit censorship .

Q29:

By adding more classifications for adults and removing the RC label as it is censoring controversy.

Other comments:

Classifications are a guideline , we need to have more guidelines for adult content to protect children . Refused classification should not exist.If something is illegal it should be taken down, if not it should fallinto one of the two classifications I added earlier of Sexually Explicit Adult Content ,(SAC) , or Controversial Adult Content (CAC).