

CI 766 D De Carlo

First name: David

Last name: De Carlo

Q1:

Certainly. As I've noticed in government it's ruled by a largely aged group of bureaucrats that need their heads out of the clouds. Get the classification fixed asap since it's frankly embarrassing to watch.

Q2:

Movie classification should be equal to games classification. No game should be marked as not being able to be classified. Games such as Mortal Kombat 9 and Left 4 Dead have been shot down because of our absurd laws. I know people who have taken the law into their own hand to get copies of such games and generally they pay increased cost. I recently purchased a tamed down version of Left 4 Dead 2 hoping it was untouched, was I wrong and I was also unsatisfied with the purchase. Do a search on ebay for Mortal Kombat 9 in australia. Notice you've opened up a black market! If the government had a standardised model this would not be happening!

Q3:

Honestly start

Q4:

Depends who is complaining. There are some people who complain that video games are the result of their kids becoming what they are (a bad batch), but I see it as parents not being good role models.

Q5:

Potential impact! As far as I'm concerned if there's blood, blasting off heads, bodies being decapitated, naked bodies and much use of foul language then it should receive a R 18 + stamp of adult goodness ... just like you do it in the movies!

And to be quite fare I'm not a fan of the Saw movies, some people find them disgustingly entertaining. I found the movies gross and sick and it certainly was more real than the bloodiest video games I've played. But you know what, all the classification board needs to do is tag movies and games under the same respect. G, PG, M, M15 and R 18 +.

Q6:

Everything should be classified in the same manner. Why should it matter for one group and not the other!

Q7:

Certainly! You do it currently with all your current stuff. Movies have G, PG .. M15 etc. Maybe R 18 + material should be wrapped in neon red packaging with a big chain over it. As far as I'm concerned. R 18 + content will require a drivers licence or 18+ card to purchase.

Q8:

I've noticed on CDs and music albums if there is a lot of foul language in the music and it states 'Explicit Language'. I believe that is appropriate.

Q9:

See Q6

Q10:

See Q6

Q11:

I believe most of the things stated above cover the way content should be classified for Human Beings. Honestly its not rocket science. Get some people working for the classification board with some educated brains and morals. Work closely with the public, community and business.

Q12:

The board and its parties should work closely with the larger publisher including Apple, Sony, steam, Microsoft, fox etc to make sure physical and streamed content is classified equally. Start with the physical items and then approach the online material.

Q13:

Get adults installing net nanny or some other software and make sure they are under adult / parent supervision.

Q14:

See Q13

Q15:

If it's R 18 +

Q16:

Classification's board should work closely with government IT departments and Australian internet security etc to get things rolling. The classifications board needs a shake up, maybe roll the department with the Internet Security Division if there is one!

Q17:

Certainly. You guys need to get with the times.

Q18:

Not sure what this means

Q19:

Possibly

Q20:

I don't think there is a problem. The real issue is the R 18+ for video games! We don't have one and that's why you guys are having a shake up.

Q21:

R 18 + for games

Q22:

Take a leaf out of America or Europe. Australia is good at piggybacking on ideas from across the ocean. We are so far behind that it is easier to take a day trip in New York City and have walk around to get fresh ideas. You guys should do that! It's bloody embarrassing having to answer these questions!

Q23:

Obviously! It'll give you guys something to do!

Q24:

Honestly why should it differ from its physical distribution!

Q25:

No! See Q24

Q26:

Honestly Australia states should now be operating under a national initiative! How on earth are you going to decide what content is accessible online depending on the state you live in! IMPOSSIBLE!

Q27:

Honestly you need to hire experts in the field to get this examined. More time than I care to think about unless I was payed!

Q28:

See Q27

Q29:

See Q27

Other comments:

PS this form really really sux. It's too long! Next time maybe give the user the option of what part of the classification system they want to comment on. Also there's a lot of questions here which really are duplicates. Are you guys trying to make this form as impracticable as possible.

Also if you really wanted the public to actively contribute to these forms advertise in the media about it. I only found this form by chance and its due shortly! Also I subscribed to the classification site, I believe, why didn't you inform us by email that this form existed.

The biggest shake up is about to happen with the classification system and you didn't actively tell those most interested about it. Honestly big fail.

Also the print button on this page is another big fail. It's not even printing everything contained in the text boxes. Embarrassment on top of embarrassment!