

CI 742 J Dittmar

First name: Jonathan

Last name: Dittmar

Q1:

Improving the key elements, namely the introduction of an adult rating

Q2:

To make sure content is classified correctly, and to ensure that no one individual or organisation art or product is refused on the basis of it not being suitable for children

Q3:

No. it's all relative to the individual, each person reacts differently to different stimulus and situations, to classify or exclude everyone on the basis of a few bad eggs is silly.

Q4:

No. if there's a classification scheme in place, one would assume you would be classifying it.

Q5:

No. Potentially ANYTHING can happen within reasonable means

Q6:

No. What goes for one, shouldn't differ to another.

Q7:

NO. we as humanity have been able to make it this far with art without it destroying our culture, surely it should be up to parents to decide whether or not an artwork is suitable for a child, but at the end of the day, it's a piece of art, it can be interpreted in any sense. Obviously an art gallery isn't going to hang art that is SO offensive it's illegal.

Q8:

Are books classified? No. And as far as music is concerned, pop music just isn't music at all.

Q9:

NO. Why would that make a difference? how can a smaller company get audience ever compete with a larger one. However, is this a question on whether the majority should decide, if an ACCURATE decision is reached, it should be followed I suppose

Q10:

NO! most content you buy in stores anyway.

Q11:

None. The only reason for a classification system is to demonstrate what is aimed at who, nothing else. if it has adult themes, it's not for kids, you label it that way. Easy.

Q12:

Better parenting. It's not up to the government to make sure the individual is accessing age appropriate material online, your reach isn't that far, and it shouldn't ever be

Q13:

BETTER PARENTING! WHY CAN'T PARENTS TAKE RESPONSIBILITY?

Q14:

What's Wrong with asking for ID? seems to work for everything else

Q15:

Only when it's needed, ergo, labeling an adult film, an adult film.

Q16:

Govt. agencies; Lable it,
Industry Bodies; Make products
Users; Make informed decisions!

Q17:

All the current arragement needs is a clear adult rating for things that are rated already. People can figure out the rest, we are the same as you!

Q18:

What is already classified

Q19:

If it's a privately made civil citizen thing, not for sale in stores genreally, no classification should be needed, but, if it is on sale in the free market, sure, why not.

Q20:

Yes. Aside from the MA15+ rating for games, bringing in an adult rating would solve EVERYTHING

Q21:

No! bar the one for games, so adults can play adult rated games, we are the major coner stone of the market

Q22:

consistent symbols

Q23:

Yes, it's all the same content

Q24:

None. people should be able to make their own minds up.

Q25:

No! Mortal Kombat 9 is banned, yet it is leagle in most other countries, and I can research it, watch videos, read reviews and what have you, but, playing it an entirely differnet story somehow.

Q26:

Yes. consistency is key, it's not that hard to figure out

Q27:

Q28:

Yeah, a universal system would probably be good, so no one gets left out

Q29:

Less worrying about children and their viewing habbits, and a stronger emphasis on parents being, wait for it, parents!

Other comments: