

CI 736 P Billett

First name: Peter

Last name: Billett

Q1:

The ALRC should focus on developing a new framework that classifies all types of media under the one classification system.

Q2:

The primary objective should be to allow all content to be available but to the right age group.

Q3:

No. Everything should be classified the same no matter on how it is accessed.

Q4:

No. All content should be classified the same and should already be classified strictly so there is no need for complaints. However if there is a large number of complaints then a higher classification should be issued.

Q5:

No. Everything should be classified for their content only not the potential impact as that has too many variables.

Q6:

No. Even if one person sees the content they should see the same clear classification that they see on all types of media.

Q7:

No. Everything should be clearly classified to a high enough standard that warns and informs consumers of the content.

Q8:

Yes. Everything should be classified under the same system.

Q9:

No. It should all be classified the same no matter the size of the audience.

Q10:

No. The classification system should be for everywhere and not change.

Q11:

Everything should be classified for their content and that alone. It should be a consistent system.

Q12:

There is no real way to restrict content online. The best thing that can be done is to have R18+ ratings for some content that requires you to enter your driver's licence number or some other personal, national item (Perhaps a new card or number should be released to everyone when they turn 18 or register to vote).

Q13:

There is no solution to restricting content online.

Q14:

They should be restricted to certain shops, reducing the availability and placing penalties.

Q15:

Classification markings, warnings and consumer advice should be clearly available at all times on the front on the content packaging.

Q16:

There role should be to allow all content to be available at all times but to a restricted audience.

Q17:

Q18:

There should be a clear system. If there is even one thing that should be restricted then it should be classified to the audience the best suits the content.

Q19:

No. There are no circumstances.

Q20:

They are not. Young children access content every day that should be restricted for an older audience but adults and children fail to understand the systems ratings as they are not clear on how serious the content is.

Q21:

There MUST be an R18+ categories for all forms of media and a possible ECR20+ (extreme content restriction that should only be for people of 20 years of age or older). There should not be any classification removed as they do have a place in the system but the content in them and content that is refused classification should be classified under a higher rating so it can be viewed but by the proper, mature audience.

Q22:

Colour's and the size of the warning should be considered. G= Green, PG= Blue, M=White, M15+ and MA=Gray, R18+=Red or Black, any higher classification should be red and black. These will help the understanding of the classification and is an easy way to make a consistent marking. The criteria for each rating should be clear and follow the same guidelines.

Q23:

The whole Act should removed and replaced with one that considers the rest of the worlds classification systems and to be current to the present times and current media.

Q24:

No content should be entirely prohibited unless illegal.

Q25:

No it doesn't.

Q26:

It should be consistent across the whole country. We are Australians and we should have one and only one classification system to guide us all.

Q27:

We should consider adopting or make modifications from another country's scheme.

Q28:

Yes.

Q29:

It should cover all content for all media across the whole country.

Other comments:

