

CI 559 M Koorey

First name: Michael

Last name: Koorey

Q1:

The classification system should be more clear as to who should or shouldn't be viewing specific content. An R18+ game classification should also be implemented due to the higher numbers of adults playing video games. The X18+ rating should also be changed to accommodate the "reasonable adults" of today's society.

Q2:

To classify and promote the suitability of content to specific age groups.

Q3:

Specific technology [ie. internet] would be near impossible to enforce and classify.

Q4:

Q5:

Q6:

Yes. If there is a large market for particular material that has the opportunity to be widely distributed or will gain Australian businesses some sort of financial gain there should be some effect on how that content will be classified.

Q7:

Artworks should not be classified. Though to provide consumer advice [not restricting access] would be helpful to consumers not wishing to view anything too compelling.

Q8:

Only if they are explicit in nature.

Q9:

Yes.

Q10:

Yes.

Q11:

Q12:

There should be no restriction on the internet.

Q13:

This is a parental obligation to install "net nanny" software to prevent their children viewing

inappropriate content.

Q14:

Current access to restricted offline content is controlled well enough. This is also a parental obligation to restrict what their children have access to.

Q15:

If it displays sexual content [not artistic nudes], very explicit language or specific themes not suitable for children under the age of 15.

Q16:

They should classify the content then allow the consumer to regulate it

Q17:

Most likely.

Q18:

Games, movies, magazines.

Q19:

Small independent films, magazines, etc should be subsidised.

Q20:

They are vaguely understood by the layman. The MA15+ rating [for film & video games{especially video games}] is generally looked upon as more of a guide than not to be viewed by minors under the age of 15. This generally leads to children gaining access to games inappropriate for them due to a particularly violent and explicit game being pushed as MA15+ to avoid it being refused classification [this is where the R18+ rating would function well].

Q21:

The

Q22:

Q23:

Yes.

Q24:

Though a censor can prohibit access to websites where prohibited content is available, there are a vast amount of ways to access restricted content. If someone wants access to restricted content, they can and will potentially gain access to it regardless of what obstacles are in their way.

Q25:

No. The RC category needs to be reviewed as well as the term of "reasonable adult".

Q26:

Classification should be made at the federal level, not at the state and territory level.

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments:

There are many parts regarding classification stating something along the lines of "standards of morality, decency and propriety generally accepted by reasonable adults" though the general view that is established through this system is of a fundamentalist christian over the age of 55.

The X18+ rating should be adjusted and allowed for distribution in all states and territories. There have been several studies showing that a majority of adults are not offended by sexually explicit material.