

CI 554 C Bendle

First name: Chris

Last name: Bendle

Q1:

Develop a new framework as the existing framework is outdated and unworkable with modern technologies.

Q2:

To ensure that minors are not allowed to access objectionable material, but adults are allowed to access whatever they choose within reasonable bounds.

Q3:

No, the content classification system should extend across all platforms etc, bearing in mind the classification system should encompass consenting adults at one end and minors at the other.

Q4:

The content should be subject to independent review, within clearly written publicly available guidelines.

Q5:

The potential impact affects the classification, bearing in mind that parents are ultimately responsible for the content that their children access.

Q6:

No.

Q7:

Yes, only on the basis of providing consumer advice. As for example when going to see a live play there are signs warning of smoking etc so consenting adults can judge for themselves.

Q8:

Q9:

No.

Q10:

Q11:

Q12:

There are no effective methods for controlling access to online content. If a filter system was implemented then it would be easily bypassed.

Q13:

By better education of parents and the dangers that broad online access poses.

Q14:

Who still buys physical media?

Q15:

Q16:

Q17:

Possibly, but not if you read the Murdoch press.

Q18:

Content with explicit sexual activity, content with explicit violence.

Q19:

Subject to an independent review board which is subsidised by the government.

Q20:

The existing classification categories are understood, barring the RC category. This category is too broad to be effective. It does not apply equally across all formats or mediums and interferes with the right of consenting adults to view material in the privacy of their own homes.

Q21:

The existing g, pg, m, ma, and r categories are well understood. These do not need to be merged but simply explained more concisely.

Q22:

By colour coding with reference to a central format.

Q23:

The classification criteria should be consolidated and updated to form a new general classification system which encompasses all systems. In other words we should start from scratch instead of trying to alter laws that predate the invention of current technologies.

Q24:

This begs the question of whether there is any effective mechanism for prohibiting access to online content.

Q25:

The current scope of the RC scheme does not accurately reflect what the majority of consenting adults should be allowed to access in Australia.

Q26:

So the sale of XXX material in Victoria is illegal but not in the ACT. Which means the transfer of material is legal but the sale is not. This causes great confusion for access within different

jurisdictions.

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: