

CI 512 S Kelly

First name: Scott

Last name: Kelly

Q1:

Improving.

Q2:

Ensuring the right content is accessed by the appropriate people.

Q3:

No.

Q4:

No. Any and all content should be classified.

Q5:

Yes, and yes.

Q6:

No, all should be classified.

Q7:

Yes, without exception.

Q8:

Yes, for example lyrical content and language.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

No.

Q11:

None.

Q12:

No comment, as I do not know enough about the subject to comment.

Q13:

It can't. Parents, parents, parents!!

Q14:

As long as the appropriate laws are enforced at the point of sale why would it need to be better

controlled?

Q15:

In ALL and EVERY case.

Q16:

That's an essay in itself! As long as those in question are aware and uphold their responsibilities stick with the current.

Q17:

Unable to comment.

Q18:

None. Case by case with no exceptions.

Q19:

No. If an individual or organisation want to display a work, the cost should be factored into their costings.

Q20:

Pretty well understood, from my experience. Perhaps the two M categories could be better differentiated.

Q21:

Gaming desperately needs a R18+ category. Beyond that, seems pretty all inclusive.

Q22:

Bring in line the equivalent ratings.

Q23:

Unable to comment.

Q24:

Anything deemed to be beyond an XXX rating.

Q25:

Not sure.

Q26:

Yes, it should be consistent nationally, and promoted as such.

Q27:

Another big question! Unable to answer.

Q28:

If it leads to a more consistent approach nationally, then perhaps.

Q29:

Easier to enforce breaches, with tougher penalties.

Other comments:

R18+ rating for games is crucial.