

CI 505 K McDougal

First name: Kaylin

Last name: McDougal

Q1:

I dont think the ALRC need to develop new framework, I think that they need to work on the exsisting framework and Improving it. It needs to be broadened and standardised.

Q2:

To introduce one consistent set of classification standards that apply to all media types.

Q3:

Regardless of the technology or platform, said content will fall into one specific catorgory, henceforth meaning that only one set of classification rules and standards should be enough if done properly.

Q4:

Yes.

Q5:

Yes and Yes.

Q6:

Yes.

Q7:

Yes.

Q8:

Yes.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

No. No matter what, Public Accession of content is going to be alot different to Home Accession of Content.

Q11:

N/A

Q12:

There really isnt any true Effective Method...

Q13:

There is no real way to stop it. The only thing people can do is that the parents pay more attention to the sites their children are viewing.

Q14:

Such content could be sold in sealed packaging to prevent unauthorised viewing.

Q15:

Whenever one has been assigned. If it's been classified, it makes no sense to keep that information a secret, People need to know before buying said Content the Classification on it.

Q16:

Q17:

Properly Not.

Q18:

G and PG ratings, provided the criteria for assessing those ratings is clear.

Q19:

No. There is no circumstances for that at all.

Q20:

By the most part of the community yes, There are still parents buying young children M15+ Games/movies just because they are censored... and yet still complaining it is inappropriate for their 11 year old children.

Q21:

No the current rating structure is clear, they just need to be consistently applied.

Q22:

Q23:

Yes

Q24:

You can not entirely Prohibit something online. It can always leak through, but if anything it'd have to be Criminally motivated content (ie child pornography, videos of rape and assault etc)

Q25:

For the most part, but not in reference to the video game industry classification standards.

Q26:

Yes. Stop requiring every Attorney's General to all agree. Such a system currently permits individual's agenda to mandate a decision for an entire country.

Q27:

I dont know.

Q28:

Yes. It makes no sense to have individual states and territories deciding their own when the content itself does not observe any such boundaries.

Q29:

No clue

Other comments:

It's stupid that Australia doesnt have 18+ Games. I mean, I'm a 16 year old female, Granted, I'm not 18. But still, I have an 23 year old brother I enjoy playing video games with, Both him and Me HATE buying part of the game... Eg. Left 4 Dead 2 by Valve. The whole point of that game is to shoot, kill and destroy zombies, in the 18+ version you can shoot the heads and arms off and such, in the 15+ Version you cant... The bodies disappear before they even hit the ground. What. the. Heck. Seriously, I put up with the censorship 'cause Legally no matter what I cant get the 18+ game for enough 2 years... But my brother refuses to by the censored version so then we cant even play together. And you know how stupid it is playing a game on your own? It's so boring and makes you feel lonered. There's no fun to it.

Basically, this stupid "No 18+ Games in australia" Rule is taking the fun out of playing Video games... I dont see the point in it anyway, because to buy 15+ games online (or any game for that matter) you need a credit card, in which, if your to young to have one, your parents have to pay and if your parents are fine with a 11 year old playing a 15+ or 18+ game that's their problem. Most parents arent stupid like that, they'd be willing to look it up first before buying their child this. And once your 18 and over, you are old enough to make your own decisions. You should beable to choose if you want the censored or uncensored version.