

CI 476 C Rawsthorne

First name: Clinton

Last name: Rawsthorne

Q1:

New framework

Q2:

To ensure that minors are not subject to objectionable content WITHOUT diminishing the freedom of choice for responsible adults.

Q3:

No, platform/technology has no bearing.

Q4:

No, retroactive classification is lazy and dangerous.

Q5:

Yes.

Yes, content designed for children should be classified across all media.

Q6:

No, harm is harm. If a child is subjected to grotesque violence in an unpopular Indie game, the damage is still as bad as if it were a AAA title.

Q7:

I think artwork should adhere to the same classifications as film; if a piece of art displays material of an adult nature that may emotionally impact on children, parents need advance notice before the child has seen the artwork.

Q8:

Yes

Q9:

No, size of the audience should have no relevance.

Q10:

No, content accessed both public and at home can potentially be viewed by people of vastly differing ages and maturities.

Q11:

Content should always be classified where there is potential for it to be accessed by minors.

Q12:

Proof of age eg. Visa

Q13:

Parents can take an active role in raising their children instead of leaving it up to government.

Q14:

Q15:

Wherever minors may have access to the content before or after purchase.

Q16:

Q17:

As long as it is policed by the government body to assure accountability.

Q18:

None.

Q19:

Projects by independant film makers and game developers should be government subsidised.

Q20:

Yes.

Q21:

There is a dire need for an R18 rating in the games industry. Currently, R18 games from other countries get reclassified to MA15 in Australia with little modification. An R18 label would assure minors were not subjected to adult material without sacrificing the rights of adults.

Q22:

Game ratings could use the same guidelines as film. Currently games are categorised more severely. Some severe graphic content (particularly if it is of a sexual nature) found in movies would be refused classification if the same content were in a game.

Q23:

Sure

Q24:

Child pornography

Q25:

No, the current scope is very much open to interpretation and would be different for each individual.

Q26:

Yes.

Q27:

Q28:

If you mean the Commonwealth of Australia, then yes, otherwise no.

Q29:

Other comments: