CI 468 L Godwin
First name: Luke
Last name: Godwin
Q1:
Focus on a new framework
Q2:
Ensuring that media content of any nature is not arbitrarily censored due to out dated or old views no longer held by the majority of citizens.
Q3:
No, all content should be classified equally with no regard to how that content is transported or consumed.
Q4:
No, complaints should be dealt with on a case by case basis.
Q5:
No, it is parents responsibility to control what content their children consume, not the States.  Classification should allow for adult content, being defined as simply not for children.
Q6:
It should be expected that larger entities have more resources to ensure compliance and therefore should be held to stricter rules than smaller entities.
Q7:
No, Art is a representation of personal opinion in a usually controlled viewing environment. Public displays should seek approval from local government, however private or invite only events should be exempt as they do not involve the public.
Q8:
As previously stated, all content should be treated equally.
Q9:
No, it is the responsibility of the individual to decide whether they wish to view something, they always should have the option to back out and not consume content if they so wish.

Q11:

Q10:

Any classification framework should allow for \*ALL\* members of the community to have their views

prevent children from viewing far better than the State can due to simple physical impedance.

Yes, as media consumed in a home environment can be controlled by the adults of that household to

heard when deciding what is to be allowed to be transported/consumed in the public arena.

Q12:

Physical onsite Parental supervision. Simply put, computers obey instructions, a child need only give the commands to a computer to deactivate any censorship software and the computer shall comply with out hesitation.

Q13:

As per Q12, Physical onsite Parental supervision.

Q14:

As per Q12, Physical onsite Parental supervision.

Q15:

When the content is on display for sale/purchase/rent/lease, they should be there to better inform consumer choice.

Q16:

Government agencies should adhere to their previous role of serving the people. Industry bodies should aim to have self regulation such that the costs are taken away from government and loaded onto private enterprise. Users should always have the final say in what they would want in their community.

Q17:

Yes

Q18:

General informational and education material. News and current affairs should be treated aside as they are often subjective in nature.

Q19:

Yes, promoting independent content should always be a priority as i feel it would help safe guard against a monoculture developing amongst larger content transporting/producing entities.

Q20:

No, most Australians are unaware it remains illegal to use VHS/timeshifting technology to record FTA content. Also a lot of sexually explicit adult content is banned but known to be widely possessed.

Q21:

R18+ for computer games.

Q22:

How is this even a problem to begin with? content is content, regardless of how it is transported/presented.

Yes
Q24:
I personally use the rule of thumb of informed consent such that both parties can give informed consent to any action. given small children and animals cannot do that, any such sexual content should be tracked down to source and either arrested or handed off to foreign police forces. Racially charged hateful content should be considered objectively for any real merit or substance, if it is lacking and can be identified by the lay public as a rant it should be controlled. I personally feel banning instructions for criminal activity pointless as criminals will always have access to such knowledge well ahead of any citizens or the police forces, knowledge in short should not be banned.
Q25:
No, i feel it is dated and badly out of touch with the community.
Q26:
Yes it is very important, it should be promoted by having a federally published guideline that states are expected to have laws that show compliance towards the aforementioned guidelines.
Q27:
Not terribly sure how to answer this question, it's a bit vague.
O28·

A centralized and uniform framework would be desirable at face value, so Yes.

appropriate, regardless of whether public or private.

Built in assurances that all voices may be heard when there is discussion of what is to be deemed

Q23:

Q29:

Other comments: