

CI 455 C Harris

First name: Chris

Last name: Harris

Q1:

NEW framework consistent with other countries.

Q2:

1. Allow adults to choose their own games. 2. Provide a larger classification scheme to account for adults/children and better classify games etc. Same as other countries

Q3:

Q4:

no. People will complain about anything, and this will give weight to complaints instead of logic

Q5:

Q6:

Q7:

yes

Q8:

Q9:

Q10:

Q11:

Q12:

Q13:

More emphasis on parental responsibility. They need to watch their children. Children could go on any kind of websites, ones at the moment that are not restricted (sexual images/violence). Children need to be protected, but not by the government in this case, as this restricts adults who should have the right to choose whether to view the information. People screaming about children accessing this material should look to who supplies the children with the ability to access the information. For games, a parent must take responsibility, there are plenty of parental monitoring software for computers, punishing innocent others by censoring their ability to do what people in most other countries of the world can do is ridiculous.

Q14:

they are controlled sufficiently. Blocked, only purchasable by +18. If they are considered too raunchy, make them blocked with covers over them and require ID to purchase or view.

Q15:

all times. As often as possible.

Q16:

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

Q20:

m15+ for games. This is terrible as we have games being blocked for adults banned on the basis of protecting kids when this is a parental issue. We have games that should be r-18 going into m15. It's bad for everybody.

Q21:

yes. R18+ it would allow M15+ to serve its proper purpose with respect to games.

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

NONE. Whatsoever. EVER.

Q25:

NO.

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

yes. Unified laws through Australia are best

Q29:

Other comments: