

CI 432 E de Bear

First name: Eddie

Last name: de Bear

Q1:

I think a new framework for classifications.

Q2:

Stopping content from being viewed that is beyond current acceptable standards (i.e. times have changed, catch up) as well as making sure other content like games are accessible by people of the appropriate age groups. I'm an adult and should be able to by adult games.

Q3:

Nope. Same classification system across all platforms

Q4:

I think a combination between self classification by publishers with reviews when a number of complaints are made.. Please don't allow one yowser to force a title to be classified/restricted.. Classification should be about the general accepted standards in the community, not what some old lady thinks.

Q5:

I think a large amount of childrens content should be guidelines, not enforced classifications. Give parents the right to choose what's appropriate for their children within a P/PG rating..

Q6:

Nope. If something is unacceptable (child exploitation etc) then it shouldn't be available regardless of who produces/distributes it.

Q7:

I think it should be classified.. BUT... provide a classification system that still allows this art work to be viewed.. The classification should be treated as a warning that the content could be considered provocative.

Q8:

Yes.. It should be in line with current moral standards. Swearing in audio is now common place, so please don't classify it as adult content only... Give parents some credit and discession.

Q9:

Again, this comes down to societies morals.. Morals have changed and the classification system should support this. i.e. Violent/Mature video games are now generally accepted. As such, the classification system should allow this.

The same should go for other content freely available online. (Legal)... If it's legal online, then it should be legal to be classified and sold universally around the country.

Q10:

Yes. Some material should only be viewed at home (adult content), while other material should only be available in public (pushing social boundaries through art)

Q11:

Q12:

Home education... There is no effective way to stop this sort of content.. It's the main reason why the Classification Scheme needs to change. Content Filtering can be worked around, so the best way to control access is through policing..

Q13:

Education... Modern operating systems have built in child protection mechanisms, but few parents know how to configure/manage this correctly. More education is needed.

Q14:

Restriction of where this material can be sold.. But it should be available... i.e. Adult Shops.. This should also include video content universally throughout the country.

The biggest problem is that this same material is easier to access online, so it still needs to be freely available.. i.e. not restricted to industrial areas etc...

Q15:

When it is not suitable for children but is made available in a location that children have access to.

Q16:

Allow the industry to self regulate, but allow the government full oversight. i.e. the government agencies can override the industry on particular titles.

The public's reaction to content (sales vs complaints) should be used as feedback to the industry to help improve the process of regulation.

Q17:

yes.

Q18:

Again, only classify things that minors should not have access to. For things that are borderline, provide content identification (violence, nudity etc) so parents can make appropriate choices.

Q19:

If classification is industry based with clear guide lines, then it should be the governments job to review at their own cost any material they deem inappropriate. i.e. fully subsidised.

To add to this, I think that penalties should be applicable to repeat breaches to help recover costs.

Q20:

In general, I think the current categories are understood, except for the lack of an R18+ category for computer games...

Q21:

Yep, R18+ for computer games.. I also think that R/X should be merged, with content descriptions attached.. This will help simplify decision making.

Q22:

Content Marking.. i.e. Violence, Drug Use, Nudity should be clearly labelled besides classification markings (P/PG/MA/etc)

Q23:

Yes.. Computer Games are just another medium for entertainment.

Q24:

Nothing should be prohibited, but illegal content should be policed. People accessing illegal content should be prosecuted..

Q25:

No. Refused classification is not the same as illegal.

This covers things like information on suicide. This content isn't illegal and should be available.

Q26:

Yes. You should have access to the same material regardless of what side of an imaginary line you live.

Q27:

Some sort of scheme where it's majority rules, not controlled by a single state AG. Having one person with the power to block changes is a disgrace.

Q28:

yes.

Q29:

Regular public reviews of the classification framework. Say every 5 years. This will allow the framework to stay current, expand or reduce content based on current acceptable attitudes.