CI 431 B Cann

First name: Brad

Last name: Cann

Q1:

new framework

Q2:

To actually correctly REVIEW (and by review i mean actually watch the movie, or play the game), and make a judgment based upon standards that are widely accepted by the community, not some religious group who is affiliated with a member of the clasffication board.

Q3:

no. we live in a world were technology is everywhere. yet i still believe parents should be the ultimate guardians for their children and the ones with the final say wether their kids can play or watch something.

Q4:

it should all be classified.

Q5:

it should all be judged on community approved guidelines. it should be media agnostic.

Q6:

no. again all content should be rated.

Q7:

yes.

Q8:

it is already.

Q9:

no.

Q10:

no

Q11:

nothing, again it should all be classified.

Q12:

the classification system should be merely a guideline, excluding porn where it should be sold in age

verified systems of some kind. ultimately i still believe parents are the most effective system. But i've seen movies and played games that are rated higher then their community who uses them believe they should be. This mis-rating them informs parents who are too lazy to look at the game or movie themsleves to use just the sticker on the box rather then their own judgement. Again the rating should be merely a guide, not a be all.

Q13:

PARENTS not being lazy and actually taking an interest in their kids. I work in IT and my kids are highly IT literate. anything anyone invents will be easily bypassed one way or another in a short period of time. AGAIN parenting is the key.

Q14:

i believe the way it is now is sufficient IE covered magazines.

Q15:

only on the front cover of the movie/game. Ingame warnings and merely a nuisance, and lets face it once you have the game or movie and you see the rating, do you seriously think someone will return it if they see the game or movie says they should be 18 to play and they are only 16.

Q16:

Goverment - to provide the legal framework for the ratings system, industry - to innovate and provide content and challange the rules where necessary, and users to use their own sound judgement in what they choose for themselves and their kids to watch and what is appropriate.

Q17:

industry self regulation has never worked in any industry, it should not be allowed to be self regulated. again the government should provide the legal framework, the industry either complies with that framework or challanges it, and the classification board reviews the content and rates it. but there then should be an independant 3rd party review for disputes between the classification board and content creators, as there has been too much intrustion of the classification board from people with political agendas that don't represent the majority of the community (IE; religious affiliations)

Q18:

none. again self classification never works.

Q19:

no.

Q20:

they are poorly understood ... IE the MA or M rating is pointless. There is no R classification for the gaming community. I believe there should be a "G" a "Teenager style" an "adult (IE 18+)" and an "X".

Q21:

"G" a "Teenager style" an "adult (IE 18+)" and an "X".

Q22:

make the markings and categories the same across ALL MEDIA.

Q23:

Yes there should be one code for all media.

Q24:

you can't regulate the internet so don't even try, as all it will do is put money into overseas hands. people will get what people want, we live in a borderless society nowadays.

Q25:

it does slim to nothing to stop anything being "found" and is little more then a joke. If i want to find RC content i can in less then 2 mouse clicks.

Q26:

It should be one law australia wide.

Q27:

one law australia wide, and a wide audience of people on the classifcation board, from all age ranges, and cultures.

Q28:

yes. but the states should also have a way to get content changed or put onto a list so that if they decide they want it, then can have it.

Q29:

it needs to be rated by the actual community, not politicians or people with an agenda. the classification board needs more people to review the content,and the voting for a rating should be done in such a way that other members don't know what rating others gave the same content they reviewed, therefore allowing a "true review" of the content and a conscious based rating, rather then a group decided one.

Other comments: