

CI 428 P Routledge

First name: Phill

Last name: Routledge

Q1:

The ALRC should work on improving key elements of the existing framework?

Q2:

To allow censorship be sensibly controlled, measurable, and targeted, but left to the individual to manage. This country does not need the government to decide what we can and can not do. Free Australia, Free to choose.

Q3:

No. What difference would that make? The people you are trying to protect will simply find the platform they need to access it...

Q4:

Would one single complaint then throw said content into the black banned list, Thus the minority control the Internet, Film and Literature, even Video Gaming? No content receiving as you piut it "A complaint", meaning one should not need a classification review. How about a system of escalation?

Q5:

This question is very leading. You ask it on two broad a range. I think the content need be managed by adults. Mums and Dads should control what is suitable for their child. Not for example, this game has blood in it, it should be 18+.... or this kids DVD depicts crime it should be 13+... parents should be given the opportunity to mange it just as they do now.

Q6:

NO.

Q7:

NO.

Q8:

Classified yes. Regulated NO.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

A very, very definite NO. Why should the government control what we can and can not do in the privacy of our homes. We are a free country, not a communist state.

Q11:

Target audience.

Q12:

Well I always was taught, you try restrict it, your going to make the problem worse. The very demographic your going to try to control will find other means.

Q13:

Educate parents on how to setup thier computer's browsers with content filters. Locked in place by password.

Q14:

see answer to Q13.

Q15:

At all times so people can make an informed decision if that content is appropriate. The individual makes that call, not the government.

Q16:

To provide a system that is not Nazi or Communist in it's reach or control, but too educate the public on how to manage and filter the content responsibly.

Q17:

No. The government would simply dig in it's heels effectively not allowing the content it feels be released. Thus the Nazi control method comes into effect. Doing this would simply give the government a mandate of control. The end user no power, no choice.

Q18:

All content needs a classification. Just don't ban it for banning it's sake. Educate.

Q19:

No.

Q20:

Yes. There is nothing wrong with current classification system, except, their should be an 18+ classification put in place for video games. The adult gamer is still treated like a child in this country.

Q21:

Yes 18+ for video games. An adult gamer should be able to see a video game in it's entirety, the way the developer intended. Many of our games in Australia are watered down and sterilized to suit kids. For example why can't their be a 13+ version of a game and an 18+ version? Just like buying Alcohol, ID must be shown.

Q22:

Loosen your strict guidelines.

Q23:

Yes. And reviewed. An 18+ guideline on video games is very long overdue in this country!

Q24:

Child porn.

Q25:

No. I am absolutely certain there would be some content within that range that is over classified and should not be in that category. Review is required.

Q26:

Yes. All states and territories need to use ONE MODEL.

Q27:

It's not my job to provide you with Models or Legislative schemes. There are far smarter people around for this. I simply reply as I don't want our government to go all nazi on controlling what we can and can't do in the privacy of our homes.

Q28:

No.

Q29:

Certainly not by government introducing an internet filter. Don't they do that in Iran? Or Saudi Arabia? Sure don't like the way these countries governments operate.... Is Australia's government implying they wish to operate the same way controlling the individual.

Other comments: