

CI 414 B McLean

First name: Brayden

Last name: McLean

Q1:

Either

Q2:

To provide parental guidance and restrict the access by children to adult material.

Q3:

The technology or platform used to access content should not affect whether content should be classified. However, the technology or platform used to access content should not affect HOW content is classified. Some industries, such as mobile apps, websites, can never be realistically certified by a single body and require self classification, while traditional media can be classified by the government.

Q4:

No, complaints should not determine if content is classified, due to their bias. Complaints made to the content provider should be enough.

Q5:

Yes, Yes (excluding the internet)

Q6:

Yes

Q7:

No

Q8:

Yes, voluntarily.

Q9:

Yes

Q10:

Yes.

Q11:

Country of origin, classification laws in country of origin, whether classification is practical.

Q12:

You should not attempt to control online content. Parental software tools for self censoring, and

internet education lessons should be provided to parents if desired.

Q13:

Better parental control over their children's behaviour. Better parental education. Internet education at schools. Voluntary age confirmation dialogues.

Q14:

Currently sufficient. Could increase policing of vendors.

Q15:

When parents are required to make a choice on whether something is appropriate for their children.  
When individuals are curious as to the content of a product.

Q16:

Government: Hands off approach, ensure no censorship is occurring. Industry: Should manage their own content voluntarily. Users: Final decision should always lie with them.

Q17:

Yes.

Q18:

All content.

Q19:

When the content is of significant interest to society. No, it should be the film-makers responsibility to classify their content according to a set of standards.

Q20:

R18+ is non existent for video games, counter-intuitively.

Q21:

R18+ needed for video games. No change to G/PG/M/MA15+.

Q22:

Consistency is not the issue. Media formats are diverging, not converging, trying to lump everything under one banner will not work.

Q23:

Yes

Q24:

If illegal material is viewed by a user, they should be able to (anonymously) submit a link to the location of that content to a government police agency, and action should be taken against the website to remove the content or the domain as soon as possible. No "prohibition" in the form of censorship is desirable.

Q25:

RC category does not reflect illegal content. No content should be prohibited online.

Q26:

No.

Q27:

A similar scheme, but with R18+ for video games.

Q28:

Only if it does not result in a decrease in content available to an individual.

Q29:

Less content should be censored. More content should be classified by the publisher, according to a set of standards. The government should assist in this to maintain consistency, but not punish consumers.

Other comments:

The questions in this form are closed-ended, which is restrictive.