

CI 410 T Lee

First name: Tom

Last name: Lee

Q1:

Q2:

Q3:

Q4:

Q5:

Q6:

Q7:

Q8:

Q9:

Q10:

Q11:

Q12:

All censorship solutions built on a foundation of technology are doomed to failure.

Q13:

Children should only be exposed to the open forum of the internet under the watchful eye of a parent or guardian. Solutions based upon technology are doomed to fail, and are the moral equivalent of sweeping dirt under a rug.

Q14:

Q15:

Q16:

Specifically regarding online content: Government agencies and industry bodies should focus on educating parents regarding their children's use of the internet. Millions have been spent by the record & movie industry on the topic of piracy, but I don't recall a single intelligent advertisement regarding the responsibility of parents with regard to the access of content via the Internet. Why? Are our children less important than corporate profits?

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

Subsidies for small independents is a brilliant idea.

Q20:

Largely, yes. I don't think the existing categories are overly confusion.

Q21:

I'd argue the lack of an R18+ classification for video games is a bizarre omission.

Q22:

Q23:

Yes.

Q24:

"Prohibited" in the context of mandatory government-sponsored filtering? None. Filtering content online is an evil, draconian measure. Take steps to address the root of this problem through education and better policing.

Q25:

In the case of anti-social content, perhaps, but in the context of the online blacklist I feel it is much too broad -- a bucket into which all undesirable-but-not-illegal content might be swept on a whim.

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments:

As a software developer, it is painful to see that the Internet filter is still floating around in some form. A giant waste of time, effort and tax payer money.

If minors are a concern, put more effort into educating parents regarding the dangers of the internet and how they might monitor their children rather than glossing over the issue with a tax-funded virtual pseudo-nanny.