CI 401 J Langille

First name: Jonathan

Last name: Langille

Q1:

I believe that a new system should be put in place from the ground up in order to include changes in technology and media since the inception of the original framework.

Q2:

To create a system that educates consumers about what sort of content media contains in order for the consumer to make an informed purchase.

Q3:

Content should be classified universally and the consumer should decide whether to buy based on that information. For example regardless of platform or technology a customer should be able to easily understand what sort of content is included from a particular media item.

Q4:

Content should be classified as a matter of course and then reassessed logically should a complaint arise at a later date.

Q5:

A classification system shouldn't attempt to gauge the impact of particular content unless it has been proven. The system should not be an attempt to restrict content as the responsibility for the end user should lie with the end user or their guardian. The system should focus on providing information rather than attempting to restrict content.

Q6:

No, content should be considered universally in all cases.

Q7:

Restricting access should never be the goal of a classification system but providing consumers with as much information as possible in order for them to make an informed choice is always a good idea.

Q8:

Classification is fine as long as it doesn't restrict content and only provides information for the consumer.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

Content accessed at home privately should remain the responsibility of the private consumer. Public media on the other hand should under go a classification process in order to provide the public with information on the content.

Q11:

None that I can think of.

Q12:

I don't believe that content should be restricted in any way.

Q13:

More responsibility should be placed on parents to take care of their children. Children need to be taught what is appropriate online behavior for their age from their parents. Information on what would be classified as appropriate should be the new systems primary concern but the responsibility for using that information should still lie with parents.

Q14:

Once again, I believe that more responsibility should be put on parents to teach their children what appropriate behavior is, rather than restricting material outright.

Q15:

Content should be required to show consumer advice at all times across as many platforms as possible in order to provide a easily understood, universal system to provide advice on end user consumption of content.

Q16:

Government should provide the system and provide enforcement where necessary in order to address blatant disregard for the system that is in place. Industry bodies should be very clear on their aims when producing content and provide as much information to the end user as possible in order to supplement information provided by the classification system to provide advice to the end user. Users should hold the responsibility to decide whether or not the content is appropriate for them.

Q17:

I believe that a co-regulatory system would achieve better outcomes not only for the classification of new media but also provide better information as to what content is being provided from a particular media.

Q18:

The industry should only classify content on its own if it consistently uses the same classification model. I believe roblems arise when there is confusion for the end user.

Q19:

The government should subsidize classification for projects that are being done purely for artistic purposes, whereas projects being done for a financial benefit should not be subsidized.

Q20:

Confusion only arises when there are conflicting information on content. However the end user should still hold the responsibility on what is appropriate for themselves and and children they are responsible for.

Q21:

Classification should extend to all content so that informed decisions should be made. Adult content should be classified as such rather than just ignoring that it exists. A mature adult should be able to make the decision on whether to buy a certain game or movie without a government body forbidding it's sale.

Q22:

Put in place a universal system with input from all industries involved. A best case scenario would be to have a world-wide system for all media in order to eliminate any confusion from content created overseas. This information should be readily available for viewing with relevant consumer advice on who the content is appropriate for.

Q23:

Once again anything that promotes easily understood consumer advice without restricting content would be good. Consolidating classification criteria would be a good idea as long as the process for classification is transparent and the criteria are easily understood by both the classification body and the end user.

Q24:

I don't believe that any content should be prohibited as the responsibility should be on the end user. Any breaches of law should be investigated but no content should be explicitly prohibited.

Q25:

Once again I don't believe that any content should be prohibited across any platforms.

Q26:

It is extremely important as a universal classification system eliminates any confusion for the end user. All states and territories should use the same system for classification laws relevant across all platforms.

Q27:

A scheme that provides a universal approach to classification and legislation relevant to classification and enforcement for all parties involved.

Q28:

The states should allow the government to put into place a universal system that is the same in all states and territories.

Q29:

The classification system should focus less on restricting content and more on providing accurate information to consumers, with whom the responsibility should lie.

Other comments: