

CI 396 W Ogier

First name: William

Last name: Ogier

Q1:

Improving the key elements.

Q2:

Consistency across all mediums. One rating system for film, tv, games should be used.

Q3:

No. The content is important, not how you access it.

Q4:

Yes. Content provided by individuals who cannot afford to submit it for classification should only require classification if a complaint has been submitted.

Q5:

Yes. The main reason for classification is to protect people. Children particularly should be protected.

Q6:

Only in so much as content producers with very limited funds shouldn't be forced to pay for classification submission prior to complaints being raised. {See Q4}

Q7:

No

Q8:

Yes. Due to theme & language.

Q9:

No

Q10:

No

Q11:

Anything with risk of being exposed to people who need protection from mature content should be classified.

Q12:

There is no effective way to restrict access automatically. This should fall on the parent / guardian to police.

Q13:

Better monitoring by authority figures.

Q14:

Not displayed publicly.

Q15:

Whenever possible.

Q16:

They should rate content and police sale of restricted content.

Q17:

Potentially.

Q18:

..

Q19:

If independent media is required to be submitted.

Q20:

I think the existing categories are understood.

Q21:

There should be an R18+ category for video/computer games. This would bring it inline with other media and prevent mature content from being slipped into lesser (MA 15+) categories. Considering the average age of gamers is over 18, cutting the rating off at 15 seems absurd.

Q22:

The classifications should be the same across all mediums.

Q23:

I'm unsure of what this means, but I believe there should be a consistent rating across all mediums. Including 18+

Q24:

Any internet filtering would be ineffective. I'm not sure how it can be prohibited.

Q25:

No

Q26:

Classifications should be uniform across all states.

Q27:

.

Q28:

Yes

Q29:

R18+ rating for games should be introduced.

Other comments: