CI 370 M Bevan-Jenkins

First name: Martin

Last name: Bevan-Jenkins

Q1:

They should work on a new framework that keep freedom for adults and protection for children.

Q2:

To allow freedom of choice

Q3:

Technology is ever changing and will change exponentially so from this point. The reform should allow for devices not thought of yet and rate accordingly.

Q4:

Yes in the case of small applications. No sense wasting time on rating an app that turns on the light for use as a torch on an iphone.

Q5:

Games and playing prepares us for real life, the more real and impact full it is the bigger the lessons to be learnt.

Q6:

No not at all.

Q7:

Art should not be restricted except in the most extreme cases

Q8:

No

Q9:

Yes

Q10:

Yes

Q11:

Controversies during development

Q12:

Concerning online content published overseas but available online, taking a reactionary response to classifications. When content has been complained about give it a classification and work with ISP's to stop access to that content or add warnings.

Q13:

through education

Q14:

Q15:

When it receives classification. With any advertisements.

Q16:

Government agencies should be there to facilitate choice not force it on people. Industry bodies must not betray the trust of their customers when displaying warnings. Users should be informed and make a choice according to their own desires. This is the essence of a free country.

Q17:

To avoid corruption the people classifying content should not be able to make money based on what decision is made. Why would a company willingly I cut out a large percent of possible sales?

Q18:

Anything where the nature of the content is not immediately clear. For instance adult magazines are clearly adult magazines. However if movies and games have a sex scene or violence it will not be clear from the cover.

Q19:

Yes

Q20:

Only when taken people see the American classification on games and movie trailers online.

Q21:

We should use examples from other international classification boards. Bringing them in line with them will avoid confusion.

Q22:

They can use the same rating system

Q23:

Yes and extended to allow for possible future formats

Q24:

Rape and child pornography

Q25:

No

Q26:

not really important they should be under the one system and share the same ratings.

Q27:

Q28:

No they can just agree to terms, no need to refer power.

Q29:

The classification should purely be a guideline for consumers to choose the products they want. Except in the case of rape and child pornography.

Other comments:

Allowing Australians the dignity of informed choice should be the underlining theme when creating this system. Keeping the rating an informing guideline and not a law that results in fines and legal battles.