

CI 358 J Young

First name: jason

Last name: young

Q1:

new and improved

Q2:

to allow the public to have informed choices in regards product disclosure

Q3:

no

Q4:

no

Q5:

that is subjective and 1 of the very reason for higher classification status.

Q6:

no

Q7:

yes

Q8:

yes

Q9:

no

Q10:

no

Q11:

classification is itself only productive if the material classified is made available. to censor and deny adults choice to view, use or interact with any media is in my opinion flawed thinking and creates problems for the whole society.

Q12:

don't attempt to control, but educate fgs.

Q13:

education and parental controls

Q14:

education and parental controls

Q15:

all content should not be exempt from this,

Q16:

content should not be regulated, the whole idea of classification is to give adults informed knowledge of the product and let them make their own choices, if a product breaks any laws then and only then should government take steps to ban content. the third reich liked the idea of censorship and look how their society fared. ignorance is not bliss.

Q17:

no, industry is market driven and classification should be made by the people which fall under said classification which is the elected government of the day

Q18:

none everything should undergo scrutiny, social norms change

Q19:

no

Q20:

there is no adult classification for video games which causes all the confusion, 15 year olds should not have access to some content whereas adults are punished for failed classification strata

Q21:

21+ would give a clear message to the community in regards to adult content in videogames, at the very least an 18+ rating is sorely needed, the 15+ current rating system is a joke and gives young people the wrong impression

Q22:

simply use the same classification criteria

Q23:

there is a great need for the whole legislation to be rethought out, times have changed and will continue to change

Q24:

none, laws are made for a reason, if you break the law you get punished. i shouldn't be punished for the lack of a said law

Q25:

again i don't agree with RC

Q26:

no, this should be a federal initiated procedure.

Q27:

federal (1 size fits all)

Q28:

yes

Q29:

classify everything and censor nothing qqv.

Other comments: