

CI 348 D Gibson

First name: Drew

Last name: Gibson

Q1:

Yes, our current classification system is outdated and when is compared to other countries is a joke.

Q2:

Their should be two primary Objectives, making material that is deemed of adult level inaccessible to children and teenagers (by imploring a R - 18 Classification, such as one used on movies), and to allow for such material available to adults whom should have the freedom to choose what they wish to play. From the content to what people can access online, to what they experience in real life, adults should have be able to have the ability to make a choice in what they wish to be exposed to.

Q3:

For the sake of protecting the children all content should be classified, although it should not be banned, Adults are old enough to make their own decisions on what they wish to view, and when it comes to things such as the internet at home parents need to take control at what their children should view. Only items that can be sold such as movies, and video games should be classified. (Classified not banned)

Q4:

All content should be classified but no content should be banned. If their is a complaint then that person should not access the product, or the classification should be reviewed, but because one person disagrees with the product it shouldn't be banned from everyone.

Q5:

N/A

Q6:

All content should be classified, but not under the current system their needs to be a system in place that alls content not to be banned but classified at a higher level. So those deemed old and mature enough can access it if they wish. That is there freedom as humans.

Q7:

No

Q8:

No

Q9:

Q10:

Q11:

Q12:

It comes down to the parents responsibility to monitor their children.

Q13:

It shouldn't have to be, The parents should be controlling it not the government. There are programs that parents can use to monitor what sites their children view.

Q14:

Put an age bracket on them.

Q15:

When being sold.

Q16:

Governments should enforce the the age restrictions on selling content, but when it comes to home use such as internet the parents should monitor it.

Q17:

yes, Professionals from that field should be used in classification, ie graphic designers or game developers, not politicians and church groups.

Q18:

They should introduce a higher classification level, so more material can be allowed through. For example video games, mortal kombat a popular fighting game was banned due to violence, when many adults like this type of game but now can't access it. Those adults should have a right as humans to play what they want.

Q19:

Q20:

The MA 15 + why is their not an R 18 + Classification for video games, i am an adult and i am sick of games being held back or changed because their is no classification for them. This needs to change. It will further protect the children as parents will see the serious nature of the game and not buy it and it will allow adults to purchase the games they wish to purchase, it will also allow the local industry to benefit in further sales, rather then people illegally importing the games.

Q21:

R 18 + [SEE reasons above in question 20], The average gamer is between 30 - 36, adults have the right to play what they wish, if other countries such as US and Uk have R 18 systems then why can't we. If those millions of adults can access that content then why can't we?

Q22:

Q23:

Yes

Q24:

None

Q25:

Nothing should be prohibited online

Q26:

Q27:

R 18 Level classification for video games

Q28:

Yes

Q29:

R 18 For video games

Other comments: