

CI 330 S Lowell

First name: Shawn

Last name: Lowell

Q1:

How about you just drop the government influence altogether?

Q2:

The primary objective should be anything but overbearing. It should NOT prevent content from being allowed into the country but, instead, provide a way for individuals and parents to judge what is correct for themselves and their children.

Q3:

This is a really weird question. There is no platform that magically modifies the source content.

Q4:

No?

Q5:

What does the media matter? If the content is the same across different media then what does it matter? Seriously? This is another weird question.

Q6:

Q7:

No. This is way too vague. Seriously. Exhibition can have so many meanings. And we all know how some authorities love to work out of technicalities.

Q8:

Sure, why not. But to get the point across: the government should have no control in this and, instead, there should be a central ratings board. No content should be denied if it's a video game, song, movie, etc...

Q9:

Uh... no. Once again this is a weird question that can be interpreted so many ways. Please stop doing that.

Q10:

Uhh.... I'm just going to go ahead and point you towards the ESRB. Seriously, look at it.. Look at it just being there, all awesome and working!

Q11:

Q12:

For the love of god NO! Just leave the internet alone for now. Laws, as of yet, have completely failed

at trying to do ANYTHING with the internet because lawmakers seem to have some horrible ability to NOT understand technology at all.

Q13:

It can't be controlled without extreme oppression. Seriously, it's sad that Australian laws reached that point long ago. Just stop trying to CONTROL what people see and don't see. Because this desire for control is the problem. Good parents are capable, for the most part, of not allowing their children to get a hold of stuff they shouldn't get in to. But it's inevitable that children WILL get into inappropriate stuff unless there is some serious oppression going on. And do you really want that image? Seriously?

Q14:

That HAS to be a joke? Right? Yes? No?

Q15:

Just slap it on the corner of the box like they do in the US and perhaps on the first loading screen when it comes to video games. See, It's simple? Right?

Q16:

None. Don't even go there..... "regulation of content" does that even sound like a friendly group of people? "Aha we don't want you to see this so, well, you can't. Deal!"

Q17:

It doesn't have to be this complex. Stop trying to keep the government involved. This is why people make fun of Australia, and I'm being serious here.

Q18:

Q19:

Classification should be free of government control. Look at how well the ESRB works in the US.

Q20:

confusion? Absolutely. I'm confused as to why it's structured the way it is. The whole mess is confusing. Just get rid of it and don't try again.

Q21:

Mature ratings would be a great start. Why does this not exist in Australia already? Is everyone there under 16? Y U Ban Mortal Kombat?!

Q22:

Wat? Are you trying to make sense? =(I honestly don't follow this one. First you're like "this" then out of nowhere it's like "but this" (if you didn't get what I'm saying then that's the point! It's exactly the same as the question I just "answered")

Q23:

NOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO! That is, just in case, you didn't get that from all my previous responses.

Q24:

NOTHING! Stop trying to control what people access. I'm sure the people of Australia don't need their hands held all the time. All that should ever be considered is when people download copyright content without paying for it.

Q25:

NO. It's terrible. Get rid of it. It has failed us all. Would not try again.

Q26:

Sure. They should be consistent. But this better not have anything to do with preventing content in art and games and music, and movies... I'm sure you get my point in that the GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT DO THIS AND THAT THIS QUESTION CAN BE CONSIDERED IRRELEVANT

Q27:

I made my point about the whole government thing. Just stop, please. It's killing me.

Q28:

Should the government not be involved? Absolutely! They should not be involved at all. I think I enjoyed this question. Perhaps you're getting it?

Q29:

Other comments: