

CI 2456 M Smith

Full name: Mark Smith

Proposal 5–1:

MA should be legally restrictive No persons under 15 may view, hire, rent, buy or be admitted to a screening of such a movie unless they are of legal age (remove the parent loop hole)

R18 A adult should be allow to see/hire what they want exceptions (illegal child porn) / where someone has been forced to do something under duress (for real) (this also needs to be proved) (Beastiality) No No, (RC)

XR18 Hard core fetish porn such as (scatology) which is the eating of pooh No No (RC) this also includes extreme sexual violence within a pornographic setting.

There should also be an Unrated option.

Proposal 5–2:

a: Any movie which is submitted

b: the OFLC only

c: Yes

d: Under the R18 Category All movies should be classified no matter what content with exceptions of above P5-1 Also theme of the movie should be taken into account, Horror (scare, shock, offend) Action (violence, drug use) and so on and so on.

Proposal 5–3:

Yes

Proposal 5–4:

Yes

Proposal 6–1 :

All Media should be classified with the exemption of above 5.1 which should be (RC)

Proposal 6–2:

Yes all games that warrant an MA or R must be classified.

Proposal 6–3:

Yes

Proposal 6–4:

Yes

Proposal 6–5:

RC should only be an option when above 5-1 has taken place (to refuse a classification when the above has not taken place should and must be punishable by law) (Human rights act)

Only material classified (RC) by the OFLC may be subject to review by the review board, once a classification is given by the OFLC it stands no review is allowed (what is the point of the OFLC)

Proposal 6–6:
No

Proposal 6–7:
If media is modified in any form a detailed list should be provided detailing what has been modified, why and what law it has been modified under (as long as it does not conflict with the human rights act)

Proposal 6–8:
Yes

Proposal 7–1:
a) yes
B) yes
C) yes (5-1)
D) yes
E) yes if found to be within 5-1

Proposal 7–2:
Yes

Question 7–1 :
Yes

Proposal 7–3:
Yes and also be able to use/adopt the Unrated system.

Proposal 7–4:
Yes

Proposal 7–5:
Yes

Question 7–2:
It should be part of the AQF and be taught in schools, and to parents. not by the regulator.

Proposal 7–6:
Review board should only operate when material is classified RC by the OFLC and a review is submitted by the distributor, Members of the public, ministers, religious groups etc should not be allowed to ask for review as they run on personal opinion and minority.

Proposal 7–7:
a) no
b) no
c) no

Proposal 8–1 :
absolutely with exceptions of 1-5

Proposal 8–2:
Yes and must be uncut and only cut if it conflicts 1-5

Proposal 8-3:

No person under 15 may buy, view, hire any movie with this certificate.

No persons admitted under 15.

Adult/parent loop hole removed.

I have seen far too many young children in movies with parents watching gruesome movies scared and shocked and nearly got into fights with parents because i have Questioned them on there responsibility (Fines should be imposed)

Proposal 8-4:

a) no

b) yes

c) yes

Question 8-1:

Yes

Proposal 8-5:

Yes

Proposal 8-6 :

a) yes

b) yes

C) yes

Proposal 9-1 :

Yes

Except MA15 should be modified to no persons under 15

R18 see 1-5

Proposal 9-2:

No its been done for years in uk and has now been dropped G is fine.

Proposal 9-3:

Yes

Proposal 9-4 :

Yes

Proposal 9-5 :

No, every three years, and must not contain religious beliefs, and minority opinions.

Must also no be in breach of the human rights act.

Proposal 10-1:

Only content that is proven to be RC material such as Child porn / extreme porn / fetish porn must be classified RC see 1-5 all other content should be classified Including Caligula uncut (Example), no one was harmed and it was all congenital therefore it warrants an R18.

Warnings should be also used on advertisements and covers if the content is very high to extreme.

Proposal 11-1:

Yes

Proposal 11-2 :

- a) Yes
- b) Yes
- c) Yes
- d) Yes
- e) Yes
- f) Yes
- g) Yes
- h) Yes and no if a warning is issued for the media then an adult is to take heed to the warning.

Proposal 11-3 :

- a) yes
- b) Yes
- c) yes

Proposal 11-4:

Yes

Question 12-1:

Yes but when a warning due to content is ignored the complaint should be disregarded and the person making the complaint should be reminded that the material did carry a warning and it is there for purpose (Educate them)

Proposal 12-1 :

- a) yes
- b) yes
- c) yes
- d) yes
- e) yes a must within certain circumstance
- f) yes
- g) yes
- h) yes
- i) yes

Proposal 13-1 :

Yes

Proposal 13-2:

No

Proposal 14-1 :

Yes within reason imports should be permitted unless they contravene with 1-5

Proposal 14-2 :

No

Proposal 14-3:

- a) yes only in Australia imports should be allowed unless as above 1-5
- b) yes
- c) Yes and no only as above 1-5 and not MA or R18 to minors.

d) yes

e) yes

Proposal 14-4:

No

Proposal 14-5 :

Yes and no depending on content.

Upload supporting documents: