

## CI 2437 B Bachmeyer

First name:

Bradley

Last name:

Bachmeyer

Q1:

Yes, the current framework needs improvement.

Q2:

To inform, at a glance, the level of content within a piece of media, and clearly state the aspects of said media that earns it the assigned rating. The key word here being "inform" -not restrict.

Q3:

Definitely not. All media must be treated equally and to achieve this gaping holes can't be left in the censor that allow some platforms more leeway than others.

Q4:

No. All media must be treated equally. The classification of something cannot be left up to the chance of whether or not it receives complaints.

Q5:

No.

No comment on second question.

Q6:

No. All media must be treated equally.

Q7:

Q8:

Q9:

No. All media must be treated equally, regardless of how many people may watch it and whatever the composition of the audience is meant to be - both of which are essentially unpredictable and unknown until long after actual release.

Q10:

Definitely not. The media itself is the case in point, not the location of the viewer of said media.

Q11:

Freedom. A highly developed democracy must give mature, intelligent adults the freedom to decide what they want to consume without a regulatory body "nannying" them.

Q12:

Disabling Australian internet altogether and stopping all satellite internet broadcasts within our borders is the only way to provide any true blocking of restricted content online. Unfortunately

and disabling the . Anyone who is actively searching for restricted (and censored) content will find that it is a cakewalk to

Q13:

It cannot.

Q14:

Q15:

Q16:

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

It would require being very misinformed and blind to technology to believe that ANY content on the internet can be blocked with any minimal effectiveness.

Q20:

Q21:

R18+ for games.

Q22:

Add an R18+ rating for video games. Nothing\* should be restricted from intelligent

Q23:

Q24:

If it was possible, sexual content involving children should alone be prohibited, but it is not and such the certainly-futile attempt to block it should not be made.

Q25:

No. It is far too wide. The only content that should exclusively hold restricted classification should be the sexual abuse of children.

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

No. Only we Australians ourselves with our own national identity -our collective culture / attitudes values and beliefs- can judge what is suitable for us. One culture cannot judge how another culture may feel about something. The system would be flawed.

Q29:

Other comments:

The personal freedoms of the Australian people cannot be allowed to be taken away.

In my entitled opinion, Senator Conroy needs a transfer to a department where he may be more adept, where he cannot turn Australia into the world's running joke as he has in his current position.