## CI 2421 P Konstandaras

First name:

Phillip

Last name:

Konstandaras

Q1:

Q2:

To cease the restriction of titles. A minority should not be able to restrict what an adult can view, unless the material is illegal under pre-existing laws (e.g., child pornography). The scheme should Q3:

No, it should not. Different medium's should be viewed in the same way - films, literature and games Q4:

Q5:

This is a pretty ambiguous question. Content designed specifically for children below a certain age group should be classified as such. You just have to remember, games are not only for children. An extreme minority are designed specifically for children.

Q6:

Q7:

Q8:

Q9:

Yes. A product marketed to children should be judged on stricter guidelines to a product only available to adults. A product that is available only to adults and does not breach pre-existing laws (e.g. child pornography laws) should not have to go through very stringent testing. It should simply be classified as R18+ and carry warnings, not be judged on conditions that do not apply to its target audience.

Q10:

Yes.

Q11:

Q12:

Via already available, optional filtering software that comes with many modems and routers. There is no need for government or ISP filtering.

Q13:

Simple, yet effective, filters come with most modem/router programs that a parent can turn on in their household if they wish to make absolutely sure their child does not view material that they have deemed inappropriate. The easiest way to control online content would be to categorise it and create software that could filter based on category - software that could be activated and deactivated by choice. Or to turn on safe-search in google. The tools are already out there - more intrusive means don't need to be forced on the population.

Q14:

Short of crippling free-speech laws and enacting legislation that would most likely spell doom for the future prospects of the party that introduced the controlling measures, there is very little that can be done.

Q15:

In the manner they already are. On film/game cases, at the beginning of films.

Q16:

Minimal. Government should control what is legal in the sense that child pornography (for example) in any shape or form is illegal. Industry bodies should, similarly, be involved to ensure that these laws are met. Users should be the main "regulators" - adults and parents should be trusted to be able to decide what is suitable for them and their children.

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

Q20:

Q21:

There needs to be an R18+ category for games and other interactive medium.

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

None beyond what is already filtered and illegal e.g. child pornography. And that is literally it. Sites that deal, specifically and exclusively with, child pornography should be prohibited. Besides that, nothing.

Q25:

No. Not by any stretch of the imagination.

Q26:

By taking the law from the hands of the states and territories and having it decided by the Federal Government.

Q27:

No power in the state government. Any and all forms of censorship affect the entire population and so should be decided by the Federal Government.

Q28:

Yes.

Q29:

Other comments:

Your questions are jargon filled and ambiguous.

They do not really get into the core of the issue or allow people to properly express opinions.