

CI 2418 R Liddall

First name:

Rosemary

Last name:

Liddall

Q1:

A new framework for classification

Q2:

To provide access to media in an age appropriate fashion.

Informed adults should have the right to consume whatever media they desire whilst children should be protected from potentially harmful or disturbing material

Q3:

Yes. Bought media, such as movies, books, games television and so on, should be classified, but it is inappropriate for the government for decide what can or cannot be viewed on the internet. Many filters are available to the public for families to easily customise their children's online access. Blocking an entire country from websites, especially when the black list isn't known to the public, is unwelcome and unneeded dictation.

Q4:

Yes

Q5:

Yes and yes. That being said online classifications should be added to optional and tweak-able filters which are ultimately controlled by parents.

Q6:

Q7:

Consumer advice perhaps but not for restricting access

Q8:

Providing none of it is refused classification, yes.

Q9:

yes

Q10:

yes

Q11:

Q12:

There is none. The internet is malleable and anyone with a smidge of know-how will bypass any mandatory restrictions. Having an optional filter, with the list of blocked sites made public, would be much more practical and would save our already lacking internet speeds from being further crippled.

Q13:

An optional filter controlled by parents. The government could provide a suggested list of blocked sites for different age groups to make it easier for parents.

Q14:

Q15:

When considered to be above MA

Q16:

Simply to advise the public of the suggested minimum age of consumption

Q17:

Yes. Very. It is in industry's best interests to let the public know who the media is appropriate for

Q18:

Games

Q19:

Q20:

yes

Q21:

Q22:

Q23:

yes

Q24:

none

Q25:

Providing no laws are broken nothing should be RC for informed adults

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: