

CI 2343 M Sutherland

First name:

Michael

Last name:

Sutherland

Q1:

Developing a new framework.

Q2:

To promote creative freedom.

Q3:

Yes - all mediums are not the same and to lump them in together is counter productive.

Q4:

Yes.

Q5:

No - by considering the impact of the content you are removing freedom of expression and bringing subjectivity into a subject that should be objective.

Q6:

No.

Q7:

No.

Q8:

No.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

Yes.

Q11:

The medium and whether it is an individual or a corporation.

Q12:

There are none.

Q13:

Supervision.

Q14:

Behind the counter restrictions.

Q15:

When it has a classification over PG.

Q16:

Government agencies fund the industry bodies who regulate the content based on the expectations of the users - not just the vocal users.

Q17:

Yes - much, when you are involved in the creation of a framework or system you are more willing to work within and for the framework.

Q18:

Movies.

Q19:

Yes. Anything independent or unlikely to turn a profit to encourage creativity.

Q20:

Yes.

Q21:

Yes. R18+ in games. An R13 in movies could be beneficial like in NZ.

Q22:

Follow the movies system.

Q23:

Yes? Why is this even a question.

Q24:

None - because it can't be done. In an ideal world - child exploitation but again, it can't be done.

Q25:

No.

Q26:

Yes. A national code.

Q27:

An industry mandated code.

Q28:

Yes.

Q29:

This isn't 1984 - remembering that tagline would help.

Other comments: