

CI 2212 H Mandelberg-Litten

First name:

Hannah

Last name:

Mandelberg-Litten

Q1:

I think a new framework would help a bit more, get an overhaul to reclassify things to, today's standards rather than the old standards.

Q2:

To both allow adults to have the right to see and play what they so wish, and to stop younger individuals from accessing things by society's standards they shouldn't be able to have access to.

Q3:

No, it should be for every platform, whether console or computer etc, all should fall under classification.

Q4:

No, everything should be classified properly, there will always be complaints on anything because individuals have different views.

Q5:

No because it's just a 'potential' thing, it's like saying everyone has the potential to become a serial killer but really who does? I think children's things should be classified but they're going to see things anyway if the parents choose.

Q6:

No, all companies should be treated the same but I think it should be easier to submit to the classification board, currently as far as I know it's quite a lengthy and pricey process, which inhibits smaller companies.

Q7:

I think there should be warnings, but it's not a big thing, how many children will go to see an art exhibition that might be based on macabre?

Q8:

Not really no.

Q9:

Well, Australia pales in comparison to other countries with size of audience, so really it should just be logical thinking that things that will sell millions of copies should be taken into consideration and classified accordingly.

Q10:

Yes, because at home is everyone's own private time, they should be able to hear and see what they wish within their own house.

Q11:

Well,

Q12:

Age restrictions, but then again you can lie online so easily, it's common sense and should be left up to the parent to discipline because it's not society's problem if their child is looking online.

Q13:

The online shop 'Steam' based in the US has age limits for content and parents really need to educate their children rather than rely on the internet and third parties to 'protect' them.

Q14:

It's already done pretty well, I think more adult magazines should be behind the counter ask though, in order to stop younger kids flipping through them.

Q15:

If it has scenes or plots that are more mature (like gore, sexual content, etc)

Q16:

To mark it and potentially warn the buyer of what's inside, the rest is up to the buyer.

Q17:

I think this would help but how to regulate this is another question, I think things should still be submitted but as long as the classification board is sensible with these decisions, please do not have biased people (eg, overly religious or over opinionated)

Q18:

Movies, Video games.

Q19:

Yes, for smaller companies I think they should have subsidies to help them afford the costs of classification.

Q20:

Yes, I think the M classification is a bit confusing for some because then again there's MA which is a definite restriction whereas M at the same age range isn't?

Q21:

I think the G, PG, M, MA, R, X is fine, they're standard and work well, R and X could probably be merged though but X kind of says it's a sexual or 'pornographic' movie.

Q22:

Just have the markings you have now Green G, Yellow PG, Blue M, Red MA, black R and X. Much easier.

Q23:

No, these are too old for today's standards.

Q24:

Child pornography, rape, etc, all things that would land jail time in person.

Q25:

No.

Q26:

It's important because being consistent is key to pleasing the masses, it should be promoted that adults can see and hear what they want, and it will be protecting children more.

Q27:

-

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: