

CI 2199 M Studte

First name:

Michael

Last name:

Studte

Q1:

If the existing framework can be adapted to new and instant response media like the internet, then improve the existing elements, otherwise overhaul the system from scratch.

Q2:

To inform, not to control.

Q3:

Insofar that it is impossible to apply classification to instant response platforms (eg. video chat, community bulletin boards) that are so fluid that classification in real-time is impossible, yes, because such platforms simply cannot be classified.

Q4:

Q5:

For information purposes, informing users of classifications is appropriate. The impact of content should not make a difference.

Q6:

No.

Q7:

NO!

Q8:

No.

Q9:

Q10:

No.

Q11:

Q12:

Education, proper parenting and optional filters installed on home computers.

Q13:

Only through proper parenting, and ensuring that the online access point (computer) is in a communal area of the home.

As there is no way to classify and/or control all content (real-time chat, internet gaming communications, Facebook interactions) only through proper education and parenting can access be controlled.

Q14:

no comment

Q15:

Q16:

Only USERS should have the right to regulate and control access to content. It is not governments' or ISPs' jobs to do so. Every mature adult is able to judge for themselves what is appropriate and is able to judge for their own children.

Q17:

Reintroduce the optional home filter scheme for people who want to protect their home access points. And for goodness sake, stop classifying stuff as RC. If it is material that is bannable, work with other country's agencies to have the content taken down. If banks can get phishing web sites world-wide taken down in a matter of hours, so can government bodies if the will to do so is there.

Q18:

Q19:

Yes, if government wants to enforce a classification scheme on Australia, it'd better be prepared to pay for it out of its own pocket.

Q20:

Quite well understood, except for RC. The campaign by the current government to equate RC with "illegal" is totally inappropriate and the clear distinction should be made that RC, as it currently stands, includes a lot of legal, but objectionable, content.

Q21:

Get rid of RC and either BAN an item outright, or classify it appropriately. Introduce a proper R-18+ rating across all media, including games, etc.

Q22:

Just settle on one set. The current movie rating scheme is quite fitting.

Q23:

YES!

Q24:

None. Illegal content can be removed much faster than classified and passed through a filtering scheme. Work with international police agencies to get content taken down as appropriate. If it's not illegal, classify it and end it there.

Q25:

NO! RC has been totally misappropriated to contain legal, but objectionable, material. Material should either be classified as bannable (in which case law enforcement steps in to remove it) or classified up to and including R and X ratings.

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

No

Q29:

Other comments: