

CI 2146 M Kennedy

First name:

Marcus

Last name:

Kennedy

Q1:

A new framework which includes all classifications which should be addressed including the R rating

Q2:

To protect those who would not wish to see or are too young to comprehend potentially harmful content.

Q3:

It should be classified regardless.

Q4:

No, content should be classified as correctly as possible before it reaches the consumer.

Q5:

It should be classified by its own content, eg if it is classified for General on DVD but have enough violence in it in the Game version to be rated PG then it should have a different classification.

Q6:

No, it should be classified without these things affecting it.

Q7:

Artwork should be classified before exhibition

Q8:

No I believe music should have its own classification

Q9:

No.

Q10:

No.

Q11:

Q12:

Warnings about allowing your children to access restricted online content should be abundant or made more obvious.

Q13:

It can and should be controlled by the parents. Parents may just need better educating.

Q14:

Are those selling these articles fined for selling to minors? If not, they should.

Q15:

On its cover should be sufficient. Content shouldn't need it.

Q16:

Government should listen to the feedback they get from the consumer/user regularly to gain understanding of what they believe is being classified correctly or not, the industries should only

provide relevant information about what they believe is necessary content to be classified by but it should be the governments responsibility to set or edit the correct classification.

Q17:

Q18:

Adult games under an R rating should be an easy inclusion into the classification system

Q19:

Q20:

Q21:

Q22:

Q23:

They should stay separate.

Q24:

None.

Q25:

No..

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: