

CI 2102 V Larsen

First name:

Vanessa

Last name:

Larsen

Q1:

I believe that the existing framework is too conservative for modern Australians, and therefore a new framework should be developed

Q2:

To provide an accurate classification on media and published content that is fair and reflective of the general modern Australian public

To provide a comprehensive review of content to ensure it meets classification requirements

To provide a fair and thorough resubmissions process for content that is refused classification

To improve the currently overly conservative classification scheme, including removing the ban on R18+ content

Q3:

No, the technology should not affect the classification of content, as the technology provides the means for users to access the content, and is not reflective of the content itself. i.e. Would you refuse classification to Microsoft Windows because users access illegal porn from this operating system?

Q4:

No, all content should be classified to ensure that the system is fair and thorough, while providing a standard and pre-requisites for the classification of content.

Q5:

All content should be classified into its relevant category

Q6:

No

Q7:

Yes

Q8:

Yes

Q9:

No

Q10:

No

Q11:

Q12:

There shouldn't be restrictions to online content - the internet is the internet for a reason.

Q13:

It is the parents' responsibility to control childrens' access to content, therefore this should be emphasized through more educational programs and media

Q14:

Again, parents are responsible for their children, better communication to shop-owners to ensure content is not sold to minors.

Q15:

At all times

Q16:

To provide consumer advice and restrictions on where/who the content can be sold to - Government Agencies should NOT have the right to ban content.

Q17:

Yes

Q18:

All content - media, books, music, games and movies

Q19:

Small films, games, independent music, books etc.

Q20:

No - PG, M, MA and R are all misunderstood, especially in age ranges.

Q21:

Yes - there is no R18+ rating for games, which is not effective because some games are banned (resulting in illegal imports), and some games are given a lower classification than they should have.

Q22:

The review and development of a consistent standard of ratings across all types of content.

Q23:

No

Q24:

None

Q25:

No - some RC games should be allowed to be sold in Australia

Q26:

Yes

Q27:

Q28:

Yese

Q29:

Introduce an R18+ classification for games

Other comments: