CI 2092 J Sausa

First name: john Last name: Sausa Q1: Yes Ω^2 : Classifying in to categories what this media contains. Q3: No. What bearing does the platform have on the delivery. Q4: Yes. This will speed up the process use less resources, and let the people have their input. Q5: No. The second part of the question seems ambiguous. Example anything G rated, is G rated across the board. Movies, music etc. Q6: No. Q7: No Art should not be censored, it is society's role not the governments to accept or reject art. Q8: No Q9: No Q10: Something consumed privately should not need classification Q11: N/A Q12: There should be no restriction. But if there was some system where you have free or subsidized application that some one can opt in to install on their computer. Q13: Free or subsidized application that some one can opt in to install on their computer. Where a

parent/guardian /carer can control what they watch.

Q14:

Free or subsidized application that some one can opt in to install on their computer.

Q15: Prior to point of purchase/consumption. Q16: The government Should only be used to give guidelines. Society and the people can then make the decisions on that they consume. Q17: Co-regulatory seems to be a fairer way. But there also seems to be a lot of doubling of efforts. Q18: No content should in reality be classified. Q19: Yes, but in reality it should rare cases only. Q20: The Divide between the media formats probably causes the most confusion. Q21: Merge as many categories to simplify it. Let the restrictions looser and let people call in the media that they don't like. Q22: Make the rules the same for all formats. Same brandings and same categories. Q23: Yes Q24: Nothing Q25: No Q26: makes it easier. doesn't really need much promotion. Make it standardized people can figure it out easy enough. Q27: N/A Q28: Yes Q29: Its been mentioned but unification. Reduction of bureaucratic process, where the people can call in complaints, rather than not even seeing the media. Other comments: