

CI 2053 A Cook

First name: Andrew

Last name: Cook

Q1:

Improving the existing framework would be sufficient and less costly than creating a new framework.

Q2:

To ensure that all media is classified under the same rules.

To remove confusion from the classification system so consumers can more easily see what they are buying.

Q3:

It should only in the instance of things that are available online, forcing all webpages and apps to go through a lengthy and costly classification procedure would kill the IT industry in Australia.

Q4:

That would be a better way for webpages/apps/online content to be classified, if it needs to be classified at all.

Q5:

No. The concept of "potential impact" has seen far too much abuse in the current classification system.

Content explicitly designed for children should be classified as such and should go through more rigorous classification checks across all media platforms. This should not, however, be applied to content that is not stated to be explicitly designed for children.

Q6:

No.

Q7:

Only if they are explicitly designed/created to be viewed by children.

Q8:

Yes.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

No.

Q11:

Q12:

Having parents monitor their children's internet access.

Q13:

Have parents be responsible for monitoring their children's internet access.

Q14:

I was unaware that there was currently a problem with control of sexually explicit magazines.

Q15:

When it is designed explicitly for children or when it is designed explicitly for adults.

Q16:

Q17:

Yes, it would. Especially with the more and more content being released online.

Q18:

All content except that which is explicitly designed for children - that should go through a more rigorous classification procedure.

Q19:

All Australian content.

Q20:

No. The categories of M and MA are the most confusing.

Q21:

There should only be two classifications: Child friendly and Adults only - everything else would not need to be classified.

Q22:

Any markings/rating must be consistent across all media types. Eg, if an R rating exists for movies it MUST exist for games and books etc

Q23:

Yes.

Q24:

None unless it is deemed illegal.

Q25:

No

Q26:

Yes, they should be removed in favour of a national system to reduce confusion.

Q27:

Not sure.

Q28:

Yes.

Q29:

Media content needs to be classified by people who actually use it, not 50 year old fundamentalists.

Other comments: