

CI 2043 D Scott

First name: Daniel

Last name: Scott

Q1:

New Framework

Q2:

-Allowing people to make an Informed decision about material

-Protecting those incapable of making that decision from accessing the content while not preventing those who can make that decision.

Q3:

Within reason.

There's no reason for "hard" media to be any different from each other, i.e. Video Games, movies, etc.

"soft" or "dynamic" media is too inconsistent and perhaps needs to have a rating system more in-line with the chance of finding material on that particular instance. i.e sites on the internet

Q4:

I believe content should be publisher classified.

After a number of complaints is reached, then the self-imposed classification would need to be reviewed.

Frequently breaching companys would need to be fined. (Perhaps if a percentage of ratings are unsatisfactory)

Q5:

No.

Q6:

No.

Q7:

No.

Q8:

No.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

Yes. If content can only be accessed in a private resident the priority of classification is much lower.

Q11:

Practicality. Some content would tie up too many resources and still not do it right. A poor classification is worse than none at all.

Q12:

Education. Nothing else works.

Q13:

Education of parents.

Q14:

Do not allow to sell to minors

Q15:

when content is deemed to be 18+

Q16:

The industry should classify itself

The people should complain in the event the industry screws up

The Government should take action against the industry in the event publishers are taking advantage of the system.

Q17:

Yes.

Q18:

All content.

Q19:

It Should be based on the value of the product.

Q20:

I think they are understood.

Q21:

In the case of video games, an R18+ rating should be in place.

Possibly an O21+ should be put in place for Objectionable content.

MA15+ should become M15+. There is not much difference between the two.

RC should be abolished.

Q22:

Make them the same.

Q23:

Yes

Q24:

None.

Warnings should be put in place that the user may be accessing objectionable content, however prohibition is unacceptable.

Q25:

No. RC should be abolished.

Q26:

It is important to Maintain consistency. It should be made Federal Domain.

Q27:

As above.

-The industry self classifys

-Guided by the government who respond if there is a significant percentage of complaints

-No prohibited items for those able to make an informed decision

-Those unable to make an informed decision should be unable to access much of the inappropriate content

-In the case of video games, an R18+ rating should be in place.

-Possibly an O21+ should be put in place for Objectionable content.

-MA15+ should become M15+. There is not much difference between the two.

-RC (Refused Classification) should be abolished.

Q28:

Yes

Q29:

As above.

-The industry self classifys

-Guided by the government who respond if there is a significant percentage of complaints

-No prohibited items for those able to make an informed decision

-Those unable to make an informed decision should be unable to access much of the inappropriate content

-In the case of video games, an R18+ rating should be in place.

-Possibly an O21+ should be put in place for Objectionable content.

-MA15+ should become M15+. There is not much difference between the two.

-RC (Refused Classification) should be abolished.

Other comments: