CI 2039 K Ebert

First name: Kathryn Last name: Ebert Q1: Improving key elements of the existing framework should suffice. To keep the public informed about their choices of viewing and gaming, so they can make an educated decision for their children Q3: Platform should not have an relevence. It is the content which should be classified all content should have a classification regardless of complaint Q5: No I do not think 'potential impact' should be classified, that is then filtering and taking away freedom of choice. Yes content intended for children should be classified across all media as should content for adults. Q6: no. Only content should be classified on it's own merit Q7: Yes, artwork should be classified to provide consumer advice, not to restrict any access Q8: Yes. All content on all platforms should be classified Q9: no Q10: no Q11: Q12: You can't, it would be too expensive and you would also be taking away a parents right to parent their child/ren. The net should be open. Q13: By parents paying attention and restricting access in their own homes. Q14: I thought it was well controlled as it was Q15: Again, all content should have an advisory system Q16:

open panel of people who review content on all platforms. This panel of people should also be

constantly changing and have representatives from all age groups.

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

Q20:

Q21:

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

Q25:

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: