

CI 2028 C Lumb

First name: Clancy

Last name: Lumb

Q1:

The ALRC should develop a new system that recognises changing media landscapes, and the Australian public's right to consume whatever media they would like, within legal grounds. The focus of the classification system should be on providing the Australian public full and accurate information, allowing THEM to make the best choices for themselves and their families.

Q2:

See above. The aim of a classification system should be to classify all media, not exclude things that don't fall into its narrow guidelines. The public should be in charge of censoring themselves if they so require

Q3:

No, but the focus should be on providing content information to consumers, not limiting availability.

Q4:

Yes, items developed with low budgets or teams should be subject to a self classification system, with a review upon complaint, followed by a formal classification if the review finds merit in the complaint. anything other than that stifles creativity and industry

Q5:

No, the purposes of classification should be to provide information for people to assess the potential impact it may have on them or their families. Parents have the right AND responsibility to review and censor their children as they see fit

Q6:

Yes, especially if a new system works on a developer/publisher pays system and the rate is not on a sliding scale

Q7:

classification should NEVER be about restricting access, it should be about informing. Going to see artwork is a choice and a right

Q8:

Once again, if it is classified, it should be about informing, not restricting.

Q9:

informing, not restricting.

Q10:

informing, not restricting.

Q11:

Q12:

Inform, let people make their own minds up

Q13:

informing parents, empowering them with tools to monitor THEIR children's access

Q14:

This really is not an issue.

Q15:

Q16:

content should not be regulated, consumers should be informed by all of the above mentioned groups

Q17:

YES

Q18:

All of it? seriously, no one in industry is trying to trick people into seeing explicit or 'adult' material

Q19:

Small, low budget or low distribution. This problem is reduced if you go on a self classification scheme

Q20:

M, MA, R, G + C

Q21:

MA should be removed, replaced with an R rating for content suitable for adults

Q22:

apply them consistently, stop treating some media as 'more' impactful despite countless evidence to the contrary

Q23:

Q24:

No legal content should be restricted. No content that is not profiting or based upon the unwilling, or actual (not simulated) exploitative should be controlled

Q25:

No, way to overbearing. Adults should be able to consume what media they chose

Q26:

Yes, make classification a federal system

Q27:

a federal system

Q28:

yes

Q29:

Not listening to extremist minority religious groups, empower people to make choices rather than wholesale banning or blocking

Other comments: