

CI 1962 C Firm

First name: Conrad

Last name: Firm

Q1:

new framework

Q2:

provide reasonably accurate consumer advice for entertainment products

Q3:

yes - "content" created by me, for my own personal use, on my own device/platform is an example of something which should be exempt from classification - hopefully, for obvious reasons

Q4:

yes

Q5:

huh?

Q6:

no

Q7:

no

Q8:

no

Q9:

yes

Q10:

yes

Q11:

resources we are willing/able to devote to the task - an automated system of classification is not going to be acceptable and the ratio of available content : available eyes/ears to classify it grows daily

Q12:

parents

Q13:

easily to access/understand resources that parents can utilise in executing their judgements

Q14:

Q15:

depends on the format/platform/type of content/device - you cannot just adopt a "blanket" approach and treat them all as the same thing

Q16:

govt agencies should only be an ADVISORY informational resource to allow those who are old enough to vote (18) to make an appropriate choice for themselves, and for those not old enough to vote, who are in their care

industry bodies should only be involved in the restriction of distribution to unaccompanied minors

Q17:

sounds like a good idea for a trial

Q18:

porn

Q19:

when it is not doing the classification itself

Q20:

no

the difference between "M" and "MA" is mystery and the so-called "Refused Classification" category is inexplicably confusing

and what is the difference between "category 1" and "category 2" Restricted publications? your own website doesn't seem to know

Q21:

if you must, then "M" and "MA 15+" should be merged, as should be "R" and "X" and "RC" - same goes for "category 1" and "category 2" - if you are over 18 you should have access to ALL material (that is not in aid of illegal activities, such as child pornography)

we may be a "young" nation but that doesn't mean we need a nanny state

Q22:

I don't think that is a valid premise

there is no "greater convergence between media formats" - media formats have diverged since the stone age, through to the invention of paint, the printing press, the pianola, the camera, the telegraph, the phonograph, radio, the cinematograph, sound-on-disc, sound-on-film, the LP, magnetic tape, television, punch cards, quadruplex videotape, Betamax, VHS, CD, digital audio tape, DigiBeta, PCM, Laserdisc, optical fibre, digital signal compression, GSM, TCP-IP, ethernet, SMTP, Quicktime, JPEG, MotionJPEG, MPEG2, MP3, AAC, WMV, IMAP, GPRS, WiFi, HSDPA, VCD, DVD, HVD, LCD, Facebook, BluRay, HD-DVD, XviD, MP4, H-264, AVC, MKV, SRT, EPG, PNG, TGA, PRC, PDF, Epub, AZW, XML, HTML, WAP, APK, LTE, TFT, OLED, AMOLED, carbon nanotubes, etc, etc, etc...

Q23:

no - like I already said - start again, with a new framework

Q24:

Q25:

Q26:

no

Q27:

as described above

Q28:

yes

Q29:

Other comments:

an interesting choice of format for some of these questions - they are basically closed-ended "yes or no" / multiple-choice questions but you have chosen an open-ended "textbox" format for the answers

I suspect this is going to make it very difficult to qualify much of your data set, in your analysis

-but perhaps you're not actually interested in doing any analysis?