

CI 1902 P Leonard

First name: Phillip

Last name: Leonard

Q1:

Improving key elements

Q2:

To provide guidance on the suitability of content with regards to the consumers age.

Q3:

Yes, because large scale content cannot be effectively reviewed - e.g. mobile apps, YouTube, etc.

Q4:

Yes.

Q5:

Yes, classification should be optional for producers where ever possible. Children's content should be subject to the same rules as all other content - producers should be encouraged to undertake optional classification to assist in promoting the suitability of their content.

Q6:

No.

Q7:

No.

Q8:

Music and recordings should be subjected to a complaints based, or producer initiated classification.

Q9:

No - this would create too many concerns around a small producer that creates an unexpectedly popular piece of content.

Q10:

Yes.

Q11:

Q12:

Self regulation. Parental supervision.

Q13:

Educate parents on appropriate supervision.

Q14:

Current offline content restrictions are appropriate, and do not need better controls.

Q15:

All content which has been classified.

Q16:

Industry bodies should adopt the role of classifications, with reviews by appropriate government agencies. Industry bodies should convene regular reviews including users and provide government with recommendations relating to classification code maintenance. Users should be responsible for their own choice of content consumption, based on either the classification, or lack of classification in

a complaints based system. The government should increase it's education of parents relating to appropriate content access for children.

Q17:

Yes.

Q18:

All content the industry believes is obvious and straightforward - subject to random review by government.

Q19:

All Australian made small independent content.

Q20:

No. The RC classification causes confusion.

Q21:

Yes, an x18+ category should be created for some content which is currently RC.

Q22:

The marking, criteria and guidelines should be format neutral, and apply to all media formats.

Q23:

Yes, there should be one consistent set of codes, publications and guidelines.

Q24:

The government should invest in removing illegal content from the source (e.g. the web server or hosting provider). Attempting to prohibit access to consumers or end-users only hides the issues.

Q25:

No, the current RC category is far too broad in scope.

Q26:

Yes - classification laws should be uniformly set by the Commonwealth.

Q27:

A scheme which is Commonwealth responsibility. It should include an open review system where the public can provide feedback at any time, and this feedback should be given the highest weight in consideration of classification changes.

Q28:

Yes.

Q29:

Other comments: