

CI 1824 M Blake

First name: Mathew

Last name: Blake

Q1:

New framework

Q2:

To make art available for everyone who wants to view/play it instead of these STUPID bans.

Q3:

The point of classification should be make people aware of the content of the material so they can make an informed decision if it is content that they or their children would want to view.

Q4:

No.

Q5:

Q6:

Q7:

If the art contains high impact violence or nudity then they can black bag it or offer an alternate slip cover for distribution.

Q8:

No

Q9:

No

Q10:

No

Q11:

As stated in my previous comment, I am fine with things being classified to inform the customer, I do not agree that subject matter that is appropriate only for adult to be banned as it is unsuitable for children. Just because baby can't eat steak doesn't mean it should be banned.

Q12:

A code on your drivers license or 18+ card - although I think controlling access to online content is abhorrent.

Q13:

See Q12 answer.

Q14:

Q15:

Q16:

To advise of content.

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

Yes if it is required.

Q20:

Yes I believe they are.

Q21:

an 18+ rating for video games.

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

Q25:

No

Q26:

Q27:

An advisory system not prohibition.

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments:

Sorry for skipping a few question, my over all belief as stated above is that banning content outright is stupid and counter productive.

If media contains, sex, violence, language (etc) then it would be a good idea to have a system in place to make people aware of the content that they wish to avoid, but other should not be prohibited from accessing the material.