First name: Mark
Last name: Newcombe

Q1:
Q2:
To classify media in all its formats, so as to guide the greater population.
Regulation and censorship should not be part of the classification scheme.
Q3:
Q4:
Q5:
no, we aren't quite a nanny state yet.
potential impact is just that, potential. everything in all its forms have a potential impact.
Q6:
no, if something is to be classified, then it should be the same for all players in xx segment.
stop trying to be regulators, and get on with classifying material to help guide me in my decisions as to whether i want to partake in said material.
Q7:
the gallery should use their common-sense and make a warning available if it is likely to offend the general public.
Do i think the Govt. should regulate this, NO.
Q8:
no
Q9:
no, you are classifying the material, not the population.
Remember, the horse comes before the cart.
Q10:
Q11:
Q12:
why are you trying to control on-line content?
last I knew this was not a communist state, and I'm not living in China.
Q13:
Reinstating parent rights to properly discipline their children, teachers for that matter too.
once upon a time, no meant no, and that was all the control you needed, nowadays you want a blanket mechanical fix for what should be a family by family decision.
Q14:
stop trying to control everything, classify it by all means.
Q15:
when it is likely to be available to members of the public that have certain sensitivities, or cause mass complaints because it falls outside the general moral standard of the nation.
Q16:

Government should only regulate at the edge of the national moral standard.

Users should make up their own minds, based upon an open classification system, not a closed regulatory system as we currently have.

Q17:

yes, you give them a framework, they classify, you can reclassify if you have complaints and said media doesn't fit inside the original classification

Q18:

all, they already know what market they are after.

Q19:

there shouldn't be any charge for classification. you're doing this as a public service right? so we know what to expect?

Q20:

to broad at the moment, G, PG,M,MA,R,X

R&X can be grouped, M or MA can be removed.

I believe the classification is more relevant for young children than it is for teenagers and adults.

Q21:

No new categories,

as above, merge M & MA,Merge R&X

Q22:

Reduced categories and broader definitions for each category.

Q23:

there should be one classification text, that way we all ready from the same book, so long as the govt has restricted viewing of that book already?? have you??

Q24:

If you want to block content, then block content involving bestiality and child pornography.

I dont know how you will do it but it's a better cause that restricting and regulating access to everything else you've got your fingers into.

Q25:

No, if something is RC then it should fall grievously outside the Australian moral norm.

At the moment you RC PC games that should fall under and R category, which i don't believe you should be allowed to do.

your job is to classify, not refuse to classify.

Q26:

Yes, they should only be a national Law, that all Australian's abide by.

Why promote it, just make it so.

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments:

PC games should have the same classification category's as mainline media.G, PG,M, MA R,X

All media, regardless of format should be classified to the same standards.