

CI 1773 N Higginson

First name: Nathan

Last name: Higginson

Q1:

Yes, game ratings on video games in particular excludes access to games that are targeted at adults for the purposes of protecting children

Q2:

To allow all users a system in which to make informed decisions about the media they are dealing with, so that they can make a decision as to whether it is appropriate for them or not. It should never be used as a way to exclude or ban content for the sake of protecting children or the less mature. People should be able to make a choice in regards to what they have access to, the government should never be allowed to block any form of media purely because of poor classification guidelines. For example banning games as there is no 18+ category

Q3:

No, it shouldn't the same classifications should be used across the board. Nudity is nudity, implied drug use is implied drug use, it doesn't matter what the format it is still the same regardless of whether its on telly, in a book or in a game.

Q4:

No, complaints can be unfair and represent a bias in thinking, especially when people complain just because they don't like it, they may utilise another reason, however ratings should never be based on complaints

Q5:

Classifications should reflect the target audience of the media, if it is aimed at adults then give it an adult rating, don't force censorship on the producers of certain types of media just to conform to lesser classifications

Q6:

NO!, once again, it should be universal across the board. If it isn't then smaller independent groups may be able to slip content through the classifications board that may not be appropriate for all who may have access

Q7:

no

Q8:

Yes, music in particular generally has a massive reach in regards to the spreading of inappropriate content

Q9:

No, it should be universal across the board as may lead to some content being unfairly classified

Q10:

No

Q11:

Age appropriateness, i am sick of lobbying groups blocking changes in classifications to include r18+ because of the potential impacts on children, the grouping is for adults not children

Q12:

There aren't, it should be up to the individuals who access the content to judge its appropriateness and it should be up to them to make sure that any dependents in their care are adequately supervised to ensure

Q13:

By informing families on how best to monitor their children access, it is about time that the families were held responsible for what they allow their children to have access to, rather than forcing the responsibility on all Australians. However it may pay for schemes to be put into place that aid families on becoming more informed and offering assistance on how to restrict access to content via the internet etc

Q14:

It is fine the way it is

Q15:

Only when the classification methods are clearly inclusive of all age groups should this be applied. g, pg, ma, r18+, the way it is classified now is fine except for the lack of 18+ classification some areas

Q16:

Users should take responsibility for the level of access they allow for themselves, government and industry bodies should only be used for informing consumers of content and how to better access or restrict access depending on their needs, for example: Governments and Industry bodies should have programs in place that explain programs like netnanny and cybersurf that show families how they can better protect children from inappropriate content

Q17:

Definitely, this would hopefully pave the way for better classifications to cater for adults. It is not fair that some content is simply banned due to lack of appropriate rating for example video games not having an r18+ category.

Q18:

Graphic sex

Q19:

If it will allow for a more inclusive environment for smaller independent groups than definitely

Q20:

video game ratings are the biggest ones, why is there no r18+ to cater for adult gamers?

Q21:

r18+ across the board, one could assume that adults would be the largest consumers of content, so its is obvious that an r18+ classification should be available across the range of media content.

Q22:

Not sure

Q23:

Only if it is fair and equal across the media it is designed to cover.

Q24:

Anything that is prosecutable by the law

Q25:

i am unsure of the proper classifications

Q26:

it should be set a a federal level to create a level playing field nationally for content

Q27:

A federal approach

Q28:

Only if it is fair and includes all Australians in its classifications, no r18+ means not all Aussies are being taken into account

Q29:

r18+ i know i have hammered the point, but it still comes down to adults being the largest consumers of classifiable content so ther shoudl be r18+ ratings for all media

Other comments: