

CI 1763 S Guilbert

First name: Sean

Last name: Guilbert

Q1:

develop a new framework

Q2:

Ensuring that people are provided with information to make a decision about what they buy.

Q3:

No it should not. A given amount of violence, sex, or profanity should be judged the same irrespective of whether it is a song, a game or a movie

Q4:

There should be a self classification scheme, with penalties for misclassification or producers can decide to submit material for classification if they wish or feel they can't make the right decision.

Q5:

No it should not. People should be given information so they can make an informed decision about the potential impact for themselves. Yes it would be useful to see material labelled as being safe for children

Q6:

yes. worries about classifying material would potentially restrict small scale productions. eg it would be ridiculous to require that a school video production be formally classified before screening it to the rest of the school, or home movies to be classified before sharing them with friends.

Q7:

there should be a voluntary system that requires exhibitors to provide detailed information and enables adults to make an informed decision (ie not just a general warning that "some material may cause offence", rather something like "this exhibition contains explicit sexual material which is likely to cause offence")

Q8:

It would be difficult and expensive to have a regulatory body classify every music recording ever distributed, and it would be a disincentive to up and coming artists from releasing their own material. Given that music is moving rapidly towards online distribution from overseas sources it would be foolish to think that this could be regulated.

Q9:

yes. Small scale productions that were only ever going to get small audience could be stymied by a classification requirements.

Q10:

yes, content that is likely to be accessed at home should not be subject to the same classification as content that is going to be widely distributed in public.

Q11:

Q12:

There is no effective method or restricting access to online content, it would be foolish to think otherwise.

Q13:

Educating parents is the only effective way to control access to potentially inappropriate online content.

Q14:

The current system for restricting access to things like sexually explicit magazines needs no improvement.

Q15:

When the material is classified as 18+.

Q16:

Government agencies should have an overseeing role in classifying content and review the decisions, and respond to complaints. There would need to be an independent body made up of consumers to hear appeals.

Q17:

yes, provided the government had the power to respond to complaints.

Q18:

almost all content could be industry classified provided that detailed guidelines were given by the government.

Q19:

classification of content should be left up to the producers of the content, with producers having the option to choose to submit their content for classification by the government.

Q20:

yes

Q21:

no

Q22:

If all content was treated equally then they would be subject to the same classification schemes.

Q23:

yes. all content should be treated equally.

Q24:

It would be foolish to think that the government can effectively prohibit access to any content online.

Q25:

No it does not.

Q26:

yes it is. It would be better if classification was handled at a federal level.

Q27:

Q28:

yes

Q29:

Other comments:

Australia should have a system that allows adults to make informed decisions about what they watch/play. Adults should be allowed to access adult material such as games, pornography. Only pornography that involves illegal acts (eg rape, child porn, bestiality) should be made illegal.

Given the increasing amounts of content being produced and the increase in (overseas) online distribution of material it would be unrealistic and difficult to require that all content be classified, eg it would be ridiculous to require every game or app released to the iphone/android app store require classification.