## CI 1755 M Dunham

First name: Myles Last name: Dunham

Q1:

A new framework is required to establish consistent standards of classification Australia wide based on actions and themes within the particular media irrelevant of how the media is distributed.

Q2:

To maintain consistent standards of classification for all forms of media and all age groups irrelevant of media distribution.

Q3:

No. Consistent standards for classification must be maintained irrelevent of platform/media distribution.

Q4:

No. Consistent standards of classification must be maintained. If an item fits into the specified classification catagory a complaint is irrelevant.

Q5:

Q5a: No. An accurate description of the content of the media should be given allowing the end user to judge themselves what is appropriate or not. Q5b: Yes.

Q6:

No. The classification should be based on the material contained on the media.

Q7:

Yes. Consistent standards of classification should be maintained for all forms of media.

Q8:

Yes. Consistent standards of classification should be maintained for all forms of media.

Ω9

No. All media should be subject to consistent classification.

Q10:

No. All media should be subject to consistent classification irrelevant of where the media is viewed.

Q11

All media should be consistently classified provided a framework is in place to allow classification for all ages. If a consistent framework is established external factors such as demographic or producers/distributors have no bearing on the classification.

Q12:

Once a consistent framework for all ages is established there should be no restricted items under the classification scheme as appropriate classifications are in place.

Q13:

By parents not allowing children unlimited and unsupervised access to the internet. This is the most efficient way to make sure that Inappropriate content is better controlled. It is not up to the government to make sure the internet is safe for children, it is up to parents to make sure that children are safe

while using the internet. If this doesn't occur it is not the fault of the internet; it is the fault of a bad
parent(s).
Q14:
Q15:
On the front of the physical packaging holding the media, at the entrance to the media, before the
media starts.
Q16:
To provide a consistent framework for all media for all ages (Government only).
Q17:
No. Identifying actions and themes present in media and forming a classification around these items
will provide a consistent framwork which should be easy to implement and maintain external of the
particular industry the media comes from. It is in the best intrest of the industry to get their product
onto the market - their view is bias.
Q18:
All media must maintain consistent standards of classification. No media should be classified by the
particular industry it is produced by.
Q19:
Depending on the media. Movies independant or lower budget films. Games Indie or low level of staff
used during production. Art/Books/music recieve grants not subsidies.
Q20:
They are understood but flawed due to inconsistency.
Q21:
R18+ for video games to maintain consistent classification with other forms of media.
Q22:
The framework used for classification shouldn't be affected by the type of media.
Q23:
Yes.
Q24:
No content should be filtered online.
Q25:
No. Some current RC matierial is subject to current flawed classification making the scope of the RC
category irrelevant.
Q26:
It is important to have a consistent framework. It should be promoted as maintaining consistent
standards for all.
Q27:
Q28:
Yes.
Q29:
It can be improved by developing a national framework based on the actions and themes present in
the particular media to maintain consistency across all forms of media for all ages.

Other comments:

It is important to remember that classification is a guide to be used by the user to decided whether this particular item of media is appropriate or not for themselves and/or others who are in their care and not to refuse classification if something is deemed innapropriate for a particular demographic. Currently there are inconsistencies across the classification system that are allowing media suitable for a particular demographic to be unavaliable and this is why we need a new framework for classification established.